arXiv:1403.5811v1 [math.AP] 23 Mar 2014

FLAT FRONTS AND STABILITY FOR THE POROUS MEDIUM EQUATION

CLEMENS KIENZLER

Abstract. This work is concerned with the equation $\partial_t \rho = \Delta_x \rho^m$, $m > 1$, known as the porous medium equation. It shows stability of general solutions close to flat travelling wave fronts in the sense of homogeneous Lipschitz spaces under rather weak conditions on the initial data that are optimal for the techniques used. Consequences include the smoothness of the solutions alongside an exact estimate, analyticity of the solutions in temporal and tangential directions, and analyticity of the interface between empty and occupied regions in time and space. In the course of the argument a Gaussian estimate in an intrinsically arising space of homogeneous type is crucial to obtain linear estimates by means of the non-euclidean Calderón-Zygmund singular integral theory.

Contents

1. The Porous Medium Equation 1
1.1. Background 1
1.2. Main Results 3
1.3. The Perturbational Setting 5
2. The Perturbation Equation 8
2.1. Energy Theory 8
2.2. Local Estimates 13
2.3. Gaussian Estimate and Consequences 21
2.4. Proof of the Nonlinear Theorem 32
References 34

1. The Porous Medium Equation

1.1. **Background.** In this paper, for $m > 1$ we consider a non-negative distributional solution

$$\rho \in L^m_{loc}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$$

of the porous medium equation (PME)

$$\partial_t \rho - \Delta_x \rho^m = 0$$

on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where $0 < T \leq \infty$. The naming originates in the physical explanation of the equation as a model for the density of diffusing gas in a porous medium. Thanks to [DF85] and [DK93] it is known that any solution is Hölder continuous on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, [AC83] showed that ρ takes on a uniquely determined initial value $\rho(0,\cdot)$ in the sense of distributions that has the property of being a Borel measure with

$$\sup_{r>1} r^{-n-\frac{2}{m-1}} \rho(0, B_r(0)) < \infty.$$

The converse problem of finding a solution of the PME to a given initial datum ρ_0 was solved positively by [BCP84] if ρ_0 satisfys the above condition. Their work provides a maximal existence time T for which a lower bound can be calculated explicitly. If the initial datum is non-negative and integrable, one gets $T = \infty$ and therefore global time solvability. That the initial datum determines the solution uniquely was finally shown by [DK84] in full generality.

The PME is a non-linear, degenerate parabolic equation. This becomes evident by considering the equation in divergence form, namely

$$\partial_t \rho - (m-1)\, \nabla_x \cdot \left(\frac{m}{m-1}\, \rho^{m-1}\, \nabla_x \rho \right) = 0,$$

where the diffusion coefficient

$$v := \frac{m}{m-1}\, \rho^{m-1},$$

representing the pressure of the gas, vanishes as the density ρ approaches zero. The formal calculation is rigorous in case also

$$\nabla_x \rho \in L^m_{loc}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

As opposed to the situation for uniformely strongly parabolic equations, the degeneracy of the PME implies that any solution whose initial positivity set does not cover the whole space retains this property for any finite time as was shown in [CVW87]. In physical terms this means that the diffusing gas does not get to every point of space instantaneously, but that disturbances are rather propagated with finite speed. Not only does this paint a more realistic picture of the real world in terms of modelling diffusion processes, but it does also give rise to an interesting mathematical phenomenon: The time-space positivity set $\mathcal{P}(\rho)$ of a solution, open because of the continuity of ρ, has a non-empty boundary that separates it from the time-space-region where ρ vanishes, thus constituting a sharply defined interface

$$\mathcal{G}(\rho) := \partial \mathcal{P}(\rho) \cap ((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

The regularity of the interface and the regularity of solutions are closely connected. This becomes plausible by the physical interpretation of the equation that suggests to view the derivative of the pressure as the velocity of the extension of gas and hence the speed of the interface. Note that parabolic regularity theory ([LUS75]) implies the smoothness of solutions on $\mathcal{P}(\rho)$, so regularity is only an issue near $\mathcal{G}(\rho)$.

In one space dimension the interface is always Lipschitz regular as was shown in [Aro70]. This is optimal according to [ACV85], since when starting to move after a waiting time the interface may have a corner. After the waiting time, however, it is in any case not only smooth ([AV87]), but even real analytic ([Ang88]). Furthermore, accoring to [Bén83] and [Aro69], the pressure is Lipschitz continuous everywhere in time and space.

In the general case of arbitrary dimension some irregularities can appear. In [CVW87] it was shown that ρ^{m-1} is a Lipschitz function in time and space for sufficiently large times and on all of $\mathbb{R}^n$, that is especially across the interface. As noted above, the temporal constraint solely applies for dimensions $n > 1$ and is important in case the positivity set of the initial datum contains one or more holes. Any hole is filled in finite time, but advancing interfaces may hit each other and the velocity of the interface can become unbounded at the focussing time, see [AG93]. It follows that $\mathcal{G}(\rho)$ can be described as a Lipschitz continuous surface for sufficiently large times. Note that there are examples with smooth interface that show that in general one cannot expect more regularity of the solution.

However, under certain conditions on the initial data ρ_0 there have been considerable advances. Given that ρ_0 has a bounded positivity set, and is non-degenerate in the sense that

$$\rho_0^{m-1} \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\rho_0)),$$
$$\rho_0^{m-1} + |\nabla_x(\rho_0^{m-1})| \geq c > 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{P}(\rho_0)$$

and

$$\Delta_x(\rho_0^{m-1}) \geq -C \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{P}(\rho_0))$$

for constants $c,\ C > 0$, a combination of the works of [CVW87] and [Koc99] shows that both the interface and the pressure are smooth for any sufficiently large time.

To complete the picture, we would like to note that short time smoothness of solutions before a possible blow-up time has been established in [DH98] for non-degenerate initial data, with a slightly different understanding of non-degeneracy that substitutes the lower bound for the Laplacian of the initial pressure for a Hölder condition for the second order derivatives with respect to an

intrinsically arising singular distance function. That it is enough to impose this Hölder condition onto the first order derivatives was shown in [Koc99]. The need for the use of the special metric is a manifestation of the degeneracy of the equation. It also plays a role in the derivation of large time smoothness and the present work and is considered in the appendix. Finally, [DHL01] found that non-degenerate initial data in the same sense as for the large time regularity which in addition possess a weakly concave square root function of the initial pressure, generate solutions with convex positivity set for all times and hence smoothness of the pressure on the whole space for any time follows. As a consequence, the interface is also smooth.

1.2. **Main Results.** We show stability of solutions of the PME whose pressure is initially close to a flat front in the homogeneous Lipschitz sense as well as some regularity properties for the solution and its interface. Here, the perfectly flat travelling wave front

$$\rho_{tw}(t,x) = \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \left(x_n + t\right)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$$

is normed with respect to the n-th coordinate direction $\vec{e}_n$.

1.1 Theorem *There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following holds:*
If ρ_0 satisfies

$$\| \frac{m}{m-1} \nabla_x \rho_0^{m-1} - \vec{e}_n \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{P}(\rho_0))} \leq \varepsilon$$

and if ρ is the solution of the PME on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with initial value ρ_0, then

$$\rho^{m-1} \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\rho))$$

and

$$\sup_{(t,x)\in\mathcal{P}(\rho)} t^{k+|\alpha|} \left| \partial_t^k \partial_x^\alpha \left(\frac{m}{m-1} \nabla_x \rho^{m-1}(t,x) - \vec{e}_n \right) \right| \leq c \, \| \frac{m}{m-1} \nabla_x \rho_0^{m-1} - \vec{e}_n \|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{P}(\rho_0))}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with a constant $c = c(n,m,k,\alpha)$. Furthermore, all level sets of ρ are analytic.

Note that this theorem contains the analyticity of $\mathcal{G}(\rho)$, which is the level set of 0. We conjecture that also the pressure itself is analytic rather than merely smooth.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, consider the equation

$$\partial_s w - y_n^{-\sigma} \, \partial_{y_n} \left(y_n^{1+\sigma} \, \frac{1 + |\nabla_y' w|^2}{\partial_{y_n} w} \right) = 0 \text{ on } J \times \overline{H},$$

where

$$J = (0,(m-1)T) =: (0,S), \; H := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_n > 0 \} \text{ and } \sigma := -\frac{m-2}{m-1} > -1.$$

It will be called transformed pressure equation (TPE) for reasons that become apparent later. We establish existence of solutions that possess good regularity properties and are Lipschitz stable with respect to the travelling wave solution

$$w_{tw}(s,y) := y_n - (1+\sigma)\, s.$$

1.2 Theorem *There exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any w_0 satisfying $\|\nabla_y w_0 - \vec{e}_n\|_{L^\infty(H)} \leq \varepsilon$ we can find a solution w_* of the TPE on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value w_0 for which we have $w_* \in C^\infty(J \times \overline{H})$ and*

$$\sup_{(s,y)\in J\times H} s^{k+|\alpha|} \left| \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha \left(\nabla_y w_*(s,y) - \vec{e}_n \right) \right| \leq c \, \| \nabla_y w_0 - \vec{e}_n \|_{L^\infty(H)}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with a constant $c = c(n,\sigma,k,\alpha)$. Furthermore, w_* is analytic in the temporal and tangential directions on $J \times \overline{H}$ with an $R > 0$ and a constant $C = C(n) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{(s,y)\in J\times H} s^{k+|\alpha'|} \, |\partial_s^k \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} \nabla_y w_*(s,y)| \leq C \, R^{-k-|\alpha'|} \, k! \, \alpha'! \, \| \nabla_y w_0 - \vec{e}_n \|_{L^\infty(H)}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}$ *with* $k + |\alpha'| > 0$.

Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1 by means of a von-Mises-transformation: Set

$$A : J \times \overline{H} \ni (s, y) \mapsto (s, y', w_*(s, y)) =: (t, x),$$

interchanging dependent and independent variables. Choosing ε possibly smaller so that $c\,\varepsilon < 1$, from the global bound on the gradient of w_* it follows immediately that

$$(1 - c\,\varepsilon)|(s, y) - (\overline{s}, \overline{y})| < |A(s, y) - A(\overline{s}, \overline{y})| < (1 - c\,\varepsilon)^{-1}|(s, y) - (\overline{s}, \overline{y})|$$

for any (s, y), $(\overline{s}, \overline{y}) \in (J \times \overline{H})$. This means that A is an injective quasi-isometry, thus allowing us to reparametrise the graph of w_* globally via A by solving the graph equation $w_*(s, y) = z$ for the implicit variable y_n. As a consequence we get a smooth function

$$v_*(s, y', z) = v_*(t, x', x_n)$$

such that

$$\operatorname{graph} w_* = \{(t, x', y_n, x_n) \mid v_*(t, x) = y_n\}$$

and

$$\nabla_{s,y'} w = -(\partial_{x_n} v)^{-1} \nabla_{t,x'} v,$$
$$\partial_{y_n} w = (\partial_{x_n} v)^{-1}.$$

We also see $A(\overline{J} \times \overline{H}) = \overline{\mathcal{P}}(v_*)$, and after a rescaling in time by $\frac{1}{m-1}$ without changing the notation of the time variable, it becomes evident that v_* solves the equation

$$\partial_t v - (m - 1)\, v\, \Delta_x v - |\nabla_x v|^2 = 0$$

on $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(v_*)$ up to and including the boundary. However, this equation is nothing but the porous medium pressure equation (PMPE), explaining the name of the TPE.
Since the level set of v_* at height z is given by

$$\{(s, y) \mid y_n = w_*(s, y', z)\},$$

the temporal and tangential analyticity of w_* translates into analyticity of the level sets of v_*. Note that for these transformations to hold it is necessary that the distance of the initial data from the initial travelling wave is small in the homogeneous Lipschitz norm, thus in this sense the smallness condition in Theorem 1.2 is optimal.
The repetition of the process just described, with w_{tw} instead of w_*, generates the travelling wave solution

$$v_{tw}(t, x) = (x_n + t)$$

of the PMPE on $\overline{\mathcal{P}}(v_{tw})$.
Setting

$$\rho_*(t, x) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\, v_*(t, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} & \text{for any } (t, x) \in \mathcal{P}(v_*) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho_{tw}(t, x) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\, v_{tw}(t, x)\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} & \text{for any } (t, x) \in \mathcal{P}(v_{tw}) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

yields a classical solution ρ_* of the PME on $\mathcal{P}(\rho_*)$ as well as the travelling wave solution ρ_{tw} of the PME we started out with. That ρ_* is indeed a distributional solution of the PME can be seen by the simple computation

$$\int\limits_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_* \partial_t \varphi \; d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} + \int\limits_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_*^m \Delta_x \varphi \; d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} = -\int\limits_{\mathcal{P}(\rho_*)} \partial_t \rho_* \varphi \; d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} + \int\limits_{\mathcal{P}(\rho_*)} \Delta_x \rho_*^m \varphi \; d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, where the boundary terms of the integrations by parts vanish since ρ_* vanishes at the boundary of its positivity set and we use

$$\nabla_x \rho_*^m = \left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)^{\frac{m}{m-1}} \nabla_x v_*^{\frac{m}{m-1}} = \rho_* \nabla_x v_*.$$

In conjunction with the existence and uniqueness results cited above this shows Theorem 1.1.

1.3. **The Perturbational Setting.** From now on we concentrate on proving Theorem 1.2. To this end, let always $\sigma > -1$ and consider the perturbed travelling wave $w_{tw} + u$. On an arbitrary open set $\omega \subset J \times H$, the perturbation u is itself a solution of the TPE if and only if it satisfies the perturbation equation (PE)

$$\partial_s u - L_\sigma u = f[u]$$

on ω with linear spatial part

$$y_n \, \Delta_y u + (1+\sigma) \, \partial_{y_n} u =: L_\sigma u$$

and nonlinearity

$$-(1+\sigma) \, \frac{|\nabla_y u|^2}{\partial_{y_n} u + 1} - y_n \, \partial_{y_n} \frac{|\nabla_y u|^2}{\partial_{y_n} u + 1} =: f[u].$$

Note that in this setting we can express both the spatial part of the operator and the nonlinearity in divergence form as

$$L_\sigma u = y_n^{-\sigma} \, \nabla_y \cdot (y_n^{1+\sigma} \, \nabla_y u)$$

and

$$f[u] = -y_n^{-\sigma} \partial_{y_n} (y_n^{1+\sigma} \frac{|\nabla_y u|^2}{1 + \partial_{y_n} u}).$$

Furthermore, u satisfies the linearised PE

$$\partial_s u - L_\sigma u = f \text{ on } \omega$$

in the sense of distributions if and only if it satisfies

$$y_n^\sigma \, \partial_s u - y_n^\sigma \, L_\sigma u = y_n^\sigma \, f \text{ on } \omega.$$

A regular distributional solution u on ω is thus characterised by the integral identity

$$-\int_\omega u \, \partial_s \varphi \, y_n^\sigma \, d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} + \int_\omega \nabla_y u \cdot \nabla_y \varphi \, y_n^{1+\sigma} \, d\mathcal{L}^{n+1} = \int_\omega f \, \varphi \, y_n^\sigma \, d\mathcal{L}$$

for any test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$ and a suitable inhomogeneity f.
The last identity will serve as a model for our definition of solutions of the linear PE. In view of its appearance, the use of weighted measures $\mu_\sigma(y) := |y_n|^\sigma \, d\mathcal{L}^n(y)$ is natural. Note that μ_σ is a countably finite Radon measure possessing the same nullsets as the Lebesgue measure. We denote $\mu_\sigma(\Omega) =: |\Omega|_\sigma$. As an abbreviation for the Lebesgue spaces with respect to μ_σ on an arbitrary set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we set $L^p(\Omega, \mu_\sigma) =: L^p_\sigma(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and drop the measure from the notation in case of the Lebesgue measure $\sigma = 0$. We would also like to introduce Sobolev spaces that allow for different weights in every order of derivatives by defining

$$W^{m,p}_{\vec{\sigma}}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p_{\sigma_0}(\Omega) \mid \partial_y^\alpha u \in L^p_{\sigma_{|\alpha|}}(\Omega) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq m \right\}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and an open set $\Omega \subset H$, where the weight exponents $\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_m > -1$ are understood as the vector $(\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_m) = \vec{\sigma}$. Here the derivatives are taken in the distributional sense, which is possible since on an open set Ω that is contained in H we have

$$L^p_\sigma(\Omega) \subset L^p_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}, \mu_\sigma) \subset L^p_{loc}(\Omega, \mu_\sigma) \subset L^1_{loc}(\Omega, \mu_\sigma) \subset L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$$

for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Both weighted Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces possess all the usual functional theoretical properties.
Our particular interest in the behaviour of solutions towards $\{y_n = 0\}$ is the motivation to carry our considerations to the boundary of the upper half plane by applying a wider class of test functions that can attain non-zero values at ∂H. For an arbitrary – and not necessarily open – set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we thus define

$$C_c^\infty(\Omega) := \{ \varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid \operatorname{supp} \varphi \text{ is a compact subset of } \Omega, \ \varphi \text{ has an extension } \breve{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \},$$

and similarly $C_c^\infty(\omega)$ for an arbitrary $\omega \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

1.3 Remark *It is clear that $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ is a dense subset of $L^p_\sigma(\Omega)$ for any $\Omega \subset \overline{H}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. By a modification of the usual arguments we can show that for $\Omega = \overline{H}$ we have that $C_c^\infty(\overline{H})$ is*

dense in $W^{m,p}_{\vec\sigma}(H)$*, given that* $1 \le p < \infty$ *and* $\sigma_{i+1} - \sigma_i \le 1$ *for* $i = 0, \dots, m-1$ *as well as* $\sigma_m \ge 0$. *See also* [AF03] *and* [Kuf85].

We can now define a suitable notion of solution of the linear PE on time-space-cylinders possibly touching the spatial boundary, considering both the initial value problem and a setting that is local in time. By the notation $\overline{I}$ and $I\overline{\ }$ we mean the closure of an interval I only at its left or right endpoint, respectively, and we always exclude $\pm\infty$ from being an element of the (time) interval. For a reasonable theory we define solutions in the energy sense, equipping them with some additional regularity properties that allow for energy techniques. In this context, however, the requirements we set are the weakest possible.

1.4 Definition *Consider* $\sigma > -1$*, an open interval* $I = (s_1, s_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$ *and a relatively open subset* Ω *of* $\overline{H}$.

- *Given* $f \in L^1_{loc}\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(\Omega)\big)$*, we say that* u *is a* σ*-solution to* f *on* $I \times \Omega$*, if and only if* $u \in L^2_{loc}\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(\Omega)\big)$, $\nabla_y u \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_{1+\sigma}(\Omega)\big)$ *and*
$$-\int_I (\, u \mid \partial_s \varphi \,)_{L^2_\sigma(\Omega)}\, d\mathcal{L} + \int_I (\, \nabla_y u \mid \nabla_y \varphi \,)_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(\Omega)}\, d\mathcal{L} = \int_I (\, f \mid \varphi \,)_{L^2_\sigma(\Omega)}\, d\mathcal{L}$$
for all $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(I \times \Omega)$.
- *Given* $s_1 > -\infty$, $f \in L^1_{loc}\big(\overline{I};\ L^2_\sigma(\Omega)\big)$ *and* $g \in L^2_\sigma(\Omega)$*, we say that* u *is a* σ*-solution to* f *on* $I \times \Omega$ *with initial value* g*, if and only if* $u \in L^2_{loc}\big(\overline{I};\ L^2_\sigma(\Omega)\big)$, $\nabla_y u \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_{1+\sigma}(\Omega)\big)$ *and*
$$-\int_I (\, u \mid \partial_s \varphi \,)_{L^2_\sigma(\Omega)}\, d\mathcal{L} + \int_I (\, \nabla_y u \mid \nabla_y \varphi \,)_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(\Omega)}\, d\mathcal{L} = \int_I (\, f \mid \varphi \,)_{L^2_\sigma(\Omega)}\, d\mathcal{L} + (\, g \mid \varphi(s_1) \,)_{L^2_\sigma(\Omega)}$$
for all $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\overline{I} \times \Omega)$.

It is worth pointing out that the linear PE possesses an invariant scaling. Considering the coordinate transformation
$$A_\lambda : (\hat s, \hat y) \mapsto (\lambda\, \hat s, \lambda\, \hat y) =: (s, y),$$
a calculation shows that if u is a σ-solution to f with initial value g on $I \times \Omega$ with respect to (s, y), then $u \circ A_\lambda$ is a σ-solution to $\lambda\,(f \circ A_\lambda)$ with initial value $\hat g$ on $A^{-1}(\overline{I} \times \Omega)$ with respect to $(\hat s, \hat y)$, where $\hat g(\hat y) := g(\lambda\, \hat y)$ for any $\hat y \in \hat\Omega := \lambda^{-1}\, \Omega$. Moreover, translations in any temporal and spatial direction save the y_n-direction commute with the differential operator.

A crucial observation is that the spatial part L_σ of the linear operator gives rise to a Carnot-Caratheodory-metric d on $\overline{H}$. This intrinsic metric is described in detail in [DH98] (in two dimensions), [Koc99] and [Kie13]. As a manifestation of the degeneracy of the equation, it is singular towards ∂H. An equivalent characterisation in terms of an explicit expression is given for all $y,\ z \in \overline{H}$ by
$$c_d^{-1}\, d(y, z) \le \frac{|y - z|}{\sqrt{y_n} + \sqrt{z_n} + \sqrt{|y - z|}} \le d(y, z)$$
with $c_d := 12$. It is sometimes convenient to consider the quasi-metric
$$\tilde d(y, z) := \frac{|y - z|}{(y_n^2 + z_n^2 + |y - z|^2)^{\frac14}}$$
in stead. Then we have
$$c_d^{-1}\, d(y, z) \le \tilde d(y, z) \le 4\, d(y, z)$$
for any $y,\ z \in \overline{H}$. All balls $B_R(z)$ will be understood with respect to d from now on, while $B^{eu}_R(z)$ denotes euclidean balls. Their interplay is displayed by the inclusions
$$\overline{H} \cap B^{eu}_{c_d^{-2} R (R + \sqrt{z_n})}(z) \subset B_R(z) \subset B^{eu}_{2R(R + 2\sqrt{z_n})}(z).$$

Close to the boundary of the upper half plane, that is for $R > \sqrt{z_n}$, we observe that $B_R(z) \sim B^{eu}_{R^2}(z)$, while for $R < \sqrt{z_n}$ they behave like usual euclidean balls. This reflects the uniform parabolicity of our equation away from the free boundary. In terms of the weighted measure we have

$$|B_R(z)|_\sigma \eqsim_{n,\sigma} R^n (R + \sqrt{z_n})^{n+2\sigma}.$$

Note also that the intrinsic metric turns the weighted measure space (H, μ_σ) into a space of homogeneous type, satisfying the doubling condition

$$|B_{\kappa R}(z)|_\sigma \le \begin{cases} c\, \kappa^{2n+2\sigma}\ |B_R(z)|_\sigma \text{ for any } \kappa \ge 1 \\ c\, \kappa^{n}\ |B_R(z)|_\sigma \text{ for any } 0 < \kappa < 1 \end{cases}$$

if $n + 2\,\sigma \ge 0$, and

$$|B_{\kappa R}(z)|_\sigma \le \begin{cases} c\, \kappa^{n}\ |B_R(z)|_\sigma \text{ for any } \kappa \ge 1 \\ c\, \kappa^{2n+2\sigma}\ |B_R(z)|_\sigma \text{ for any } 0 < \kappa < 1 \end{cases}$$

if $n + 2\,\sigma < 0$, where the constants depend only on n and σ.

Energy theory shows not only existence of σ-solutions on the whole closed upper halfspace, but also uniqueness by means of an energy identity, and a regularity gain thanks to global energy estimates (see Section 2.1). Localising and iterating these considerations result in local regularity properties (see Section 2.2), leading the way to a Gaussian estimate with numerous consequences (see Section 2.3). Among other things, this makes it possible to extend the classes of initial data and inhomogeneities that make sense to be considered in the context of σ-solutions.
The main result for the linear PE on $I = J = (0, S)$ can then be formulated in terms of intrisic parabolic cylinders $Q_R(z) := (\frac{1}{2}R^2, R^2) \times B_R(z)$ that stay away from the initial time, and function spaces $X(p)$ and $Y(p)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{X(p)} =& \|\nabla_y u\|_{L^\infty(J\times H)} \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{R^2<S \\ z\in H}} R^2\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|\nabla_y \partial_s u\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))} \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{R^2<S \\ z\in H}} R\ (R+\sqrt{z_n})\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|D^2_y u\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))} \\ &+ \sup_{\substack{R^2<S \\ z\in H}} R^2\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|y_n\, D^3_y u\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{Y(p)} := \sup_{\substack{R^2<S \\ z\in H}} R\ (R+\sqrt{z_n})^{-1}\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))} + \sup_{\substack{R^2<S \\ z\in H}} R^2\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|\nabla_y f\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))}.$$

These are norms modulo constants. As an intersection of complete spaces, the spaces $X(p)$ and $Y(p)$ are also complete. We furthermore denote the homogeneous Lipschitz space by

$$\dot C^{0,1}(H) := \left\{ g : \overline{H} \to \mathbb{R} : \|\nabla_y g\|_{L^\infty(H)} < \infty \right\}.$$

For functions depending on time and space whose spatial gradient is bounded we will use the notation $\dot C^{0,1}_y(I \times H)$, obviously containing $X(p)$ for any $1 \le p \le \infty$

1.5 Theorem *Let* $\max\left\{n+1, \frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$.
If $f \in Y(p)$, $g \in \dot C^{0,1}(H)$ *and* u *is a* σ*-solution to* f *on* $J \times \overline{H}$ *with initial value* g, *then*

$$\|u\|_{X(p)} \le c \left(\|g\|_{\dot C^{0,1}(H)} + \|f\|_{Y(p)} \right)$$

with a constant $c = c(n, \sigma, p)$.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is given in Propositions 2.12 and 2.23.

Thanks to the special structure of our nonlinearity, we can also establish mapping properties of $u \mapsto f[u]$ that include the reverse inequality, allowing us to close the argument and produce the following statement that is proven in Section 2.4.

1.6 Theorem *Let* $\max\left\{n+1, \frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$.
Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$, *a* $\delta = \delta(n,\sigma) > 1$ *and a constant* $c_1 = c_1(n,\sigma,p) > 0$ *such that for any* $g \in B_\varepsilon^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$ *we can find a* σ*-solution* $u_* \in X(p)$ *of the (nonlinear) PE on* $J \times \overline{H}$ *with initial value* g *satisfying* $\|u_*\|_{X(p)} \le c_1 \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$ *that is unique within* $B_{\delta\varepsilon}^{X(p)}$.
Moreover, u_* *depends analytically on the data* g, *we have* $u_* \in C^\infty(J \times \overline{H})$ *and*

$$\sup_{(s,y)\in J\times H} s^{k+|\alpha|} \left|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha \nabla_y u_*(s,y)\right| \le c_2 \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ *with a constant* $c_2 = c_2(n,\sigma,k,\alpha)$.
Furthermore, u_* *is analytic in the temporal and tangential directions on* $J \times \overline{H}$ *with an* $r > 0$ *and a constant* $C = C(n)$ *such that*

$$\sup_{(s,y)\in J\times H} s^{k+|\alpha'|} |\partial_s^k \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} \nabla_y u_*(s,y)| \le r^{-k-|\alpha'|}\, k!\, \alpha'! \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}$ *with* $k + |\alpha'| > 0$.

As noted above, this generates a solution $w_* = w_{tw} + u_*$ of the TPE, implying Theorem 1.2 immediately.

1.7 Remark *It is shown in* [Koc99, Proposition 6.3.1.] *that the solution* u_* *and all its temporal derivatives are also analytic on* $I \times \overline{H}$ *in any spatial direction including the vertical one. We conjecture that* u_* *is indeed analytic on* $I \times \overline{H}$ *in time and space. This would ultimately also show the analyticity of the pressure.*

2. The Perturbation Equation

2.1. **Energy Theory.** Existence of σ-solutions to the initial value problem for the linear PE is ensured for any relatively open $\Omega \subset \overline{H}$, while uniqueness requires the consideration of the equation on the whole half space $\overline{H}$. Henceforth, we will include the case $s_1 = -\infty$ into the notation for the initial value problem: Whenever we speak of a σ-solution to f on $I \times \overline{H}$ with intial value g for $s_1 = -\infty$, we implicitely set $g = 0$ and mean the σ-solution to f on $(-\infty, s_2) \times \overline{H}$ that vanishes at $-\infty$. Thus $I = (s_1, s_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$ will from now on always be an arbitrary open interval.

2.1 Proposition *If* $f \in L^1\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ *and* $g \in L^2_\sigma(H)$, *then there exists a unique* σ*-solution to* f *on* $I \times \Omega$ *with initial value* g, *for which* $u \in C\big(\overline{I};\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ *with* $u(s_1) = g$ *and* $u \in C_b\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ *holds as well as the energy identity*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u(\widetilde{s}_2)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)} + \int_{\widetilde{I}} \|\nabla_y u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}\, d\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\|u(\widetilde{s}_1)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)} + \int_{\widetilde{I}} (\, f \mid u\,)_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\, d\mathcal{L}$$

for any $\widetilde{I} = (\widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_2) \subset I$.

Proof: First consider $s_1 > -\infty$. Existence follows with a Galerkin approximation. On $\Omega = \overline{H}$, we can use Remark 1.3 to see that any $\varphi \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ with $\nabla_y \varphi \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)\big)$ and $\partial_s \varphi \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ that has compact support in time contained in $\overline{I}$ serves as an admissible test function for the initial value problem. The compact temporal support together with the temporal square-integrability of both φ and $\partial_s \varphi$ implies $\varphi \in L^\infty\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$, and the defining equation remains reasonable with such test functions for the class of inhomogeneities considered in Definition 1.4.
Fixing $\widetilde{s}_1 \le \widetilde{s}_2 \in I$, so that $\widetilde{I} \subsetneq I$, a formal calculation with $\chi_{\widetilde{I}}\, u$ as a test function implies the

energy identity immediatley. However, both $\chi_{\widetilde{I}}$ and u do not possess the L^2-regularity of the time derivative needed for a justification. We regularise in time by

$$u^h(s) := \begin{cases} h^{-1} \int\limits_{(0,h)} u(s+\tau)\, d\mathcal{L}(\tau) & \text{for all } s \in I^h \\ 0 & \text{for all } s \in I \setminus I^h, \end{cases}$$

where $I^h := (s_1, s_2 - h)$ and $I^{-h} := (s_1 + h, s_2)$. By convention we set $I^{-h} = I$ if $s_1 = -\infty$ and $I^h = I$ if $s_2 = \infty$. For arbitrary $h \in \mathbb{R}$ it is obvious that $I^h + h = I^{-h}$.
Now specify a cut-off function $\eta_\varepsilon \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(I)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\eta \subset I^h \cap I^{-h}$ by defining $\eta_\varepsilon := \eta_{\varepsilon_1}\, \eta_{\varepsilon_2}$ for suitably small $\varepsilon_1,\ \varepsilon_2 > 0$, with

$$\eta_{\varepsilon_1}(s) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for all } s \in (s_1, \widetilde{s}_1) \\ \frac{s-\widetilde{s}_1}{\varepsilon_1} & \text{for all } s \in (\widetilde{s}_1, \widetilde{s}_1 + \varepsilon_1) \\ 1 & \text{for all } s \in (\widetilde{s}_1 + \varepsilon_1, \widetilde{s}_2) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta_{\varepsilon_2}(s) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for all } s \in (s_1, \widetilde{s}_2 - \varepsilon_2) \\ -\frac{s-\widetilde{s}_2}{\varepsilon_2} & \text{for all } s \in (\widetilde{s}_2 - \varepsilon_2, \widetilde{s}_2) \\ 0 & \text{for all } s \in (\widetilde{s}_2, s_2). \end{cases}$$

Then $(\eta\, u^h)^{-h}$ is an admissible test function in the equation for u on $I \times \overline{H}$. A regularised version of the energy identity in terms of h, ε_1 and ε_2 follows, and the limit $h \to 0$ poses no difficulties. Moreover one shows that $(\|u(\widetilde{s}_2)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)})^{-\varepsilon_2}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C((\widetilde{s}_1, s_2))$ and thus has a continuous limit. But in any Lebesgue point we have that $(\|u(\widetilde{s}_2)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)})^{-\varepsilon_2} \to \|u(\widetilde{s}_2)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$ for $\varepsilon_2 \to 0$, since $t \mapsto \|u(s)^2\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)} \in L^2(I)$. After the same considerations for $\widetilde{s}_1$ the continuity on I follows and the energy identity is clear.
If we repeate the whole process with the test function $\eta_\varepsilon\, \widetilde{\varphi}$ for $\widetilde{\varphi} \in C^\infty_c(\overline{H})$, it becomes clear that $s \mapsto (\, u(s) \mid \widetilde{\varphi}\,)_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$ is bounded and continuous on $\overline{[\widetilde{s}_1, s_2)}$ for any $\widetilde{s}_1 \in I$. By density, this is nothing but weak continuity of $s \mapsto u(s) \in L^2_\sigma(H)$. Since $L^2_\sigma(H)$ is a Hilbert space, this amounts to the continuity of $s \mapsto u(s) \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ on $\overline{[\widetilde{s}_1, s_2)}$ for any $\widetilde{s}_1 \in I$ and thus $u \in C\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$.
For the inclusion of the initial value fix a $s_0 \in I$ and define

$$\eta_\varepsilon := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for all } s \in (s_1, s_0) \\ 1 - \frac{s-s_0}{\varepsilon} & \text{for all } s \in (s_0, s_0 + \varepsilon) \ \text{ for small } \varepsilon > 0. \\ 0 & \text{for all } s \in (s_0 + \varepsilon, s_2) \end{cases}$$

Then $\widetilde{\varphi}\ \eta_\varepsilon$ is an admissible test function, and similar calculations as above lead to a situation where we can let $\varepsilon \to 0$ and then $s_0 \to s_1$ to get

$$\lim_{s_0 \to s_1} (\, u(s_0) \mid \widetilde{\varphi}\,)_{L^2_\sigma(H)} = (\, g \mid \widetilde{\varphi}\,)_{L^2_\sigma(H)}.$$

This proves that weak continuity can be extended into s_1. But on (s_1, s_2) we know by the first part that $\|u(s)\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$ is uniformely bounded. Full continuity in $L^2_\sigma(H)$ down to s_1 is therefore proven.
Uniqueness of solutions to the initial value problem now follows directly from the energy identity. For $s_1 = -\infty$, we finally use the results for the finite case to show existence, uniqueness, continuity and the energy identity for solutions with vanishing initial value by means of a Cauchy sequence argument. ■

2.2 Remark *An easy consequence of the energy identity is the decrease in time of the L^2_σ-norm of σ-solutions of the homogeneous linear PE on the whole space.*

2.3 Remark *We can use the same method of proof as in the energy identity to get the duality equality*

$$(\, g_1 \mid u_2(s)\,)_{L^2_\sigma(H)} = (\, u_1(s) \mid g_2\,)_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$$

for any $s \in I$, where u_1 and u_2 are σ-solutions to of the homogeneous equation on $I \times \overline{H}$ with initial values g_1 and g_2, respectively.

We now show weighted energy estimates. The statement alongside with a formal proof is already contained in [Koc99].

To this end, we consider only the initial datum $g = 0$. This could be generalised to other initial values under some regularity conditions on g.

2.4 Proposition *If $f \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ and u is a σ-solution to f on $I \times \overline{H}$ with initial value $g = 0$, then*

$$s \mapsto \|\nabla_y u(s)\|_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)} \in C(\overline{I}) \text{ with } \nabla_y u(s_1) = 0$$

and there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma) > 0$ such that

$$\int\limits_{(\widetilde{s}_1,s_2)} \|\nabla_{s,y} u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\ d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_{(\widetilde{s}_1,s_2)} \|D^2_y u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(H)}\ d\mathcal{L} \le c \int\limits_{(\widetilde{s}_1,s_2)} \|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\ d\mathcal{L}$$

for any $\widetilde{s}_1 \in \overline{I}$.

Proof: We first consider the time local case only. Fix $\widetilde{s}_1 \le \widetilde{s}_2 \in I$ so that $\widetilde{I} \subsetneq I$. In the formal proof we test the equation with $\chi_{\widetilde{I}}\, \partial_s u$. This can be made rigorous similar as above, using on u^h that any σ-solution $\widetilde{u}$ with the additional property $\partial_s \widetilde{u} \in L^2_{loc}\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ equivalently satisfies

$$\int_I (\ \partial_s \widetilde{u} \mid \varphi\ )_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\ d\mathcal{L} + \int_I (\ \nabla_y \widetilde{u} \mid \nabla_y \varphi\ )_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}\ d\mathcal{L} = \int_I (\ f \mid \varphi\ )_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\ d\mathcal{L}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ with $\nabla_y \varphi \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)\big)$ and compact temporal support. From this we get the continuity of $s \mapsto \|\nabla_y u(s)\|_{L^2(H,\mu_{1+\sigma})}$ on $[\widetilde{s}_1, s_2)$ as well as the inequality for the temporal derivative.

The weak regularity gain in the temporal derivative enables us to restrict ourselves to elliptic equations: If u is a σ-solution to f on $I \times \overline{H}$, then for almost all $s \in I$ we have that $u(s)$ satisfies

$$-L_\sigma u(s) = f(s) - \partial_s u(s) =: \widetilde{f}(s) \text{ on } \overline{H}.$$

In a slight abuse of notation we will thus supress the time dependence and consider $u \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ with $\nabla_y u \in L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)$ and

$$\int_H \nabla_y u \cdot \nabla_y \varphi\ d\mu_{1+\sigma} = \int_H \widetilde{f}\ \varphi\ d\mu_\sigma,$$

$\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\overline{H})$, as the energy formulation of the elliptic equation. As before, the density statement in Remark 1.3 implies that any function in $L^2_\sigma(H)$ with derivative in $L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)$ is an admissible test function.

Formally, the estimate for both the tangential and vertical spatial derivatives follows by testing the elliptic equation with $\partial_{y_n} u$, while for the second order derivative we consider $y_n \Delta_y u$ as a test function. To make this rigorous we perform a Fourier transformation in the tangential directions with Fourier variables $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and without renaming the functions u and $\widetilde{f}$, coupled with a linear transformation $z := |\xi'|\ y_n$ to get

$$z\ \partial_z^2 u + (1+\sigma)\ \partial_z u - z\ u = -\widetilde{f} \text{ on } (0,\infty), \qquad (*)$$

where we considered ξ' as parameters and set $u(z) := |\xi'|\ u(\xi', z)$. A solution u of the homogeneous version of this equation defines a solution $v = z^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}\ u$ of the modified Bessel equation with parameter $\frac{\sigma}{2}$, that is

$$z^2\ \partial_z^2 v + z\ \partial_z v - z^2\ v - \frac{\sigma^2}{4}\ v = 0,$$

and vice versa. The modified Bessel functions $I_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ and $K_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$, described in detail in [OM10], form a fundamental system of this ordinary differential equation, hence a fundamental system for the homogeneous equation $(*)$ is given by

$$\Psi_1(z) := z^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\, I_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$$

and

$$\Psi_2(z) := z^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\, K_{\frac{\sigma}{2}}.$$

The asymptotics of the modified Bessel functions are known, and up to constants depending on σ, for $\sigma > 0$ we get

$$\Psi_1(z) \sim 1 \quad (z \to 0), \qquad \Psi_1(z) \sim z^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} e^z \quad (z \to \infty)$$
$$\Psi_2(z) \sim z^{-\sigma} \quad (z \to 0), \qquad \Psi_2(z) \sim z^{-\frac{1+\sigma}{2}} e^{-z} \quad (z \to \infty).$$

For $\sigma < 0$ we have $\Psi_2(z) \sim 1 \quad (z \to 0)$, and $\Psi_2(z) \sim \ln z \quad (z \to 0)$ for $\sigma = 0$, while the other three relations remain as before. The Wronskian of Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 can be computed to be $z^{-1-\sigma}$. All this leads to the fundamental solution

$$k(z,x) := \begin{cases} x^\sigma\ \Psi_1(z)\ \Psi_2(x), & z < x \\ x^\sigma\ \Psi_1(x)\ \Psi_2(z), & z > x, \end{cases}$$

with first order derivative having a jump discontinuity of the type x^{-1} at $z = x$. Therefore, solutions u to $(*)$ are characterised by the representation

$$z^l\ u(z) = -\int\limits_{(0,\infty)} z^l\ k(z,x)\ \widetilde{f}(x)\ d\mathcal{L}(x)$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{R}$. We rewrite this to get the operator

$$\widetilde{f} \mapsto z^l\ u = -\int\limits_{(0,\infty)} z^l\ k(z,x)\ x^{-\sigma}\ \widetilde{f}(x)\ d\mu_\sigma(x).$$

The definition of the fundamental solution and the asymptotic expansions of Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 ensure that

$$\sup_{z\in(0,\infty)} \int\limits_{(0,\infty)} z^l\ |k(z,x)|\ x^{-\sigma}\ d\mu_\sigma(x) < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \int\limits_{(0,\infty)} z^l\ |k(z,x)|\ x^{-\sigma}\ d\mu_\sigma(z) < \infty$$

in case of $l \in \{0,1\}$, verifying the conditions for the application of Schur's lemma ([Fol84]). For solutions u of $(*)$ it follows that

$$\|u\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} + \|z\ u\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} \lesssim_\sigma \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))}.$$

Now it makes sense to incorporate $z\ u$ onto the right hand side of $(*)$. This results in a first order ordinary differential equation for $\partial_z u =: v$, namely

$$z\ \partial_z v + (1+\sigma)\ v = -\widetilde{f} + z\ u =: \overline{f}. \qquad (**)$$

A solution to the homogeneous equation is clearly given by $z \mapsto z^{-1-\sigma}$, and so for this equation we get the fundamental solution

$$\overline{k}(z,x) := \begin{cases} x^\sigma\ z^{-1-\sigma}, & z > x \\ 0, & z < x. \end{cases}$$

This time we consider the operator

$$z^\delta\ \overline{f}(z) \mapsto z^\delta\ v(z) = \int\limits_{(0,\infty)} \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^\delta\ \overline{k}(z,x)\ x^\delta\ \overline{f}(x)\ d\mathcal{L}(x).$$

For $\delta = \delta_1$ we have

$$\sup_{z\in(0,\infty)} \int\limits_{(0,\infty)} \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^{\delta_1} |\overline{k}(z,x)| \, d\mathcal{L}(x) = \sup_{z\in(0,\infty)} z^{\delta_1-1-\sigma} \int\limits_{(0,z)} x^{\sigma-\delta_1} \, d\mathcal{L}(x) = \frac{1}{1+\sigma-\delta_1}$$

if $\delta_1 < 1+\sigma$, showing that

$$\|z^{\delta_1} \, v\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty))} \lesssim_{\sigma,\delta_1} \|z^{\delta_1} \, \overline{f}\|_{L^\infty((0,\infty))}.$$

Similarly, for $\delta = \delta_2$ we see

$$\sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} \int\limits_{(0,\infty)} \left(\frac{z}{x}\right)^{\delta_2} |\overline{k}(z,x)| \, d\mathcal{L}(z) = \sup_{x\in(0,\infty)} x^{\sigma+\delta_2} \int\limits_{(x,\infty)} z^{\delta_2-1-\sigma} \, d\mathcal{L}(z) = -\frac{1}{\delta_2-\sigma}$$

if $\delta_2 < \sigma$, thus generating

$$\|z^{\delta_2} \, v\|_{L^1((0,\infty))} \lesssim_{\sigma,\delta_2} \|z^{\delta_2} \, \overline{f}\|_{L^1((0,\infty))}.$$

An interpolation yields

$$\|z^{\frac{\delta_1+\delta_2}{2}} \, v\|_{L^2((0,\infty))} \lesssim_{\sigma,\delta_1,\delta_2} \|z^{\frac{\delta_1+\delta_2}{2}} \, \overline{f}\|_{L^2((0,\infty))}$$

for $\delta_1 < 1+\sigma$ and $\delta_2 < \sigma$. But we can choose δ_1 and δ_2 with $\delta_1+\delta_2 = \sigma$, if only $\sigma < 1+2\sigma$. This condition, however, is equivalent to $\sigma > -1$ and hence we get

$$\|\partial_z u\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} = \|v\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} \lesssim_\sigma \|\overline{f}\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} \lesssim_\sigma \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))}.$$

An immediate consequence of $(*\,*)$ is then

$$\|\partial_z^2 u\|_{L^2_{2+\sigma}((0,\infty))} = \|z \, \partial_z^2 u\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} \eqsim_\sigma \|\overline{f} + \partial_z u\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))} \lesssim \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^2_\sigma((0,\infty))}.$$

Summing up, after reverting the notation back to the starting point,the retransformation from z to y_n and an additional integration in the ξ' direction combined with Plancherel's theorem in the reverse Fourier transformation reveals that

$$\|\nabla'_y u\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)} + \|\Delta'_y u\|_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(H)} + \|\partial_{y_n} u\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)} + \|\partial^2_{y_n} u\|_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma} \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}.$$

Finally, the mixed second order derivatives can be gained thanks to the formula

$$\|D^2_y u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(H)} \eqsim_\sigma \|\Delta_y u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(H)} + \|\nabla'_y u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$$

by means of integration by parts and the density statement from Remark 1.3.
Let now u be a σ-solution to the initial value problem with $g = 0$.Then u can be extended to a bigger interval by zero. We can thus use the time local results and obtain the statement. ■

2.5 Remark *From the last proposition it follows that for $l \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with*

$$(l,k,|\alpha|) \in \{(0,1,0),\ (0,0,1),\ (1,0,2)\},$$

the mappings

$$f \mapsto y_n \, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$$

that send the inhomogeneity to certain derivatives of the σ-solution of the zero initial value problem on $I \times \overline{H}$ are bounded operators from $L^2(I;\, L^2_\sigma(H))$ to $L^2(I;\, L^2_\sigma(H))$.
Elements of the above set of exponents will be referred to as Calderón-Zygmund-exponents in the following. Note that they are exactly given by those $l \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ that satisfy the conditions $l - k - |\alpha| = -1$ and $2\,l - |\alpha| \leq 0$.

2.6 Remark *A straight forward regularisation in the fashion of the proof of proposition 2.1 shows that there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma)$ such that*

$$\int\limits_{(\widetilde{s}_1,s_2)} \|\nabla_y \nabla'_y u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)} \, d\mathcal{L} \leq c \left(\int\limits_{(\widetilde{s}_1,s_2)} \|\nabla'_y f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)} \, d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_{(\widetilde{s}_1,s_2)} \|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)} \, d\mathcal{L} \right)$$

for any $\widetilde{s}_1 \in I$, if in addition to $f \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$ we also demand $\nabla'_y f \in L^2\big(I;\ L^2_\sigma(H)\big)$.

2.7 Remark *We can also iterate the notion of σ-solution in terms of their derivatives. We set $\partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n - 1} u := 0$ if $\alpha_n = 0$. If $\partial_s^i \partial_{y'}^{\beta'+\gamma'} \partial_{y_n}^{\gamma_n} f \in L^2(I;\ L^2_{\gamma_n+\sigma}(H))$ for any $0 \le i \le k$, $0 \le \beta' \le \alpha'$, and $0 \le |\gamma| \le \alpha_n$ and u is a σ-solution to f on $I \times \overline{H}$, then $\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$ is an $(\alpha_n + \sigma)$-solution to $\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha f + \alpha_n\ \Delta'_y \partial_s^k \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n - 1} u$ on $(\widetilde{s}_1, s_2) \times \overline{H}$ for any $\widetilde{s}_1 \in I$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ that possesses the natural initial value at $\widetilde{s}_1$.*

2.2. **Local Estimates.** We now localise our considerations in time as well as space, the latter with respect to the intrinsic metric. Since the behaviour of d depends on the relative position of points with respect to ∂H, there will be different treatments reflecting the situation of the balls in question, at first represented by statements close to centre points 0 and $(0', 1) =: \vec{1}$. We also shift our paradigm concerning the time interval, characterising now by its length and the situation of a special point $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ in stead of left and right end point. We use the abbreviation

$$I_{r,\,\varepsilon}(\tau) := (\tau + \varepsilon r^2, \tau + r^2)$$

for $r > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$, dropping the second index if $\varepsilon = 0$. The fact that there exists a small $\delta_0 \in (0, \delta_1)$ such that for any $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_0)$ a certain assumption holds, will be expressed by stating that the assumption holds for any $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ small enough.
We start with the iterated local energy estimates. Although the proposition also works for the inhomogeneous equation, in favor of a clearer presentation we set $f = 0$ in the statements.

2.8 Proposition *Let $\varepsilon_1 \in [0, 1)$ and $\delta_1 \in (0, 1]$ as well as $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$,*

(1) *If $r \le 1$ and u is a σ-solution to $f = 0$ on $I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau) \times B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1})$, then for any $\varepsilon_2 \in (\varepsilon_1, 1)$ as well as any $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ small enough we have that $\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$ is an $(\alpha_n + \sigma)$-solution to $\Delta'_x \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n - 1} u$ on $I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau) \times B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1})$ and there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on n, σ, k, α, ε_1, ε_2, δ_1 and δ_2 such that*

$$\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)} \|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\ d\mathcal{L} \le c\, r^{-4k-2|\alpha|} \int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\ d\mathcal{L}.$$

(2) *If u is a σ-solution to $f = 0$ on $I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau) \times B_{\delta_1}(0)$, then for any $\varepsilon_2 \in (\varepsilon_1, 1)$ and any $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ small enough we have that $\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$ is an $(\alpha_n + \sigma)$-solution to $\Delta'_x \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n - 1} u$ on $I_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau) \times B_{\delta_2}(0)$ and there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on n, σ, ε_1, ε_2, δ_1 and δ_2 such that*

$$\int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)} \|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_2}(0))}\ d\mathcal{L} \le c \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))}\ d\mathcal{L}.$$

Proof: We first concentrate on the case away from the boundary and consider $r \le 1$ as well as a σ-solution u on $I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau) \times B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1})$ to an inhomogeneity $f \in L^2\big(I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau);\ L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))\big)$ which does not necessarily vanish. In the whole proof, constants will depend on n, σ and any other parameters involved in the calculations, if not explicitely stated differently.
So fix $\varepsilon_2 \in (\varepsilon_1, 1)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$. If δ_2 is small enough, it is possible to construct a cutoff function

$$\eta \in C_c^\infty(\vec{I}_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau) \times B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))$$

with

$$\eta = 1 \text{ on } \overline{I}_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau) \times \overline{B}_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1})$$

and

$$|\partial_s^j \partial_y^\beta \eta| \lesssim r^{-2j-|\beta|} \text{ for any } j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

A calculation involving a spatial integration by parts shows that $\eta\ u$ is a σ-solution to the inhomogeneity

$$\eta\ f - L_\sigma \eta\ u - 2\ y_n\ \nabla_y \eta \cdot \nabla_y u + \partial_s \eta\ u$$

on $I_{\varepsilon_1,\,r}(\tau)\times\overline{H}$ with initial value 0. By Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we also get

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\,\eta(s)\,f(s)-L_\sigma\eta(s)\,u(s)-2\,y_n\,\nabla_y\eta(s)\cdot\nabla_y u(s)\,\big|\,\eta(s)\,u(s)\,\big)_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\\&\qquad\lesssim r^2\,\|\eta(t)\,f(t)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}+r^{-2}\,\|\eta(t)\,u(t)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}+\|\nabla_y\eta(t)\,u(t)\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}\end{aligned}$$

for any $r>0$ on $\overline{I}_{r,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)$, where the constant does not depend on any parameter. The properties of η and f ensure that the inhomogeneity of the globalised equation is contained in

$$L^2\big(I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau);\;L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))\big)\cap L^1\big(I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau);\;L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))\big).$$

We can hence apply the global energy identity from Proposition 2.1 on $\eta\,u$, and, subsequently, the properties of the cutoff function η, to see that

$$\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)}\|\nabla_y u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}\lesssim r^2\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)}\|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+r^{-2}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)}\|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}.$$

Here we used that in any local setting, the weight exponent of $y_n^{1+\sigma}$ can be lowered to y_n^σ at the expense of a constant not depending on any parameters. Furthermore, near $\vec{1}$ we can not only decrease, but also increase weight exponents without loosing more than a constant. Thus in addition, the estimate delivers a bound for the time-space L^2_σ-norm of $\nabla_y u$.
Now u is also a σ-solution on any smaller set $I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_1}(\tau)\times B_{\widetilde{\delta}_1 r}(\vec{1})$ and we can choose the cutoff function $\widetilde{\eta}$ with respect to this other set to get a global solution in space with zero initial values. By applying the global energy estimates from Proposition 2.4 on $\widetilde{\eta}\,u$, lowering the weight exponents again and using that $r\le 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_2}(\tau)}\|\partial_s u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\widetilde{\delta}_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_2}(\tau)}\|\nabla_y u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\widetilde{\delta}_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon_2}}(\tau)}\|D_x^2 u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(B_{\widetilde{\delta}_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}\\&\lesssim\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_1}(\tau)}\|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\widetilde{\delta}_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+r^{-4}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_1}(\tau)}\|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\widetilde{\delta}_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+r^{-2}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_1}(\tau)}\|\nabla_y u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(B_{\widetilde{\delta}_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}\end{aligned}$$

for any $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_2\in(\widetilde{\varepsilon_1},1)$ and any $\widetilde{\delta}_2$ that is small enough with respect to $\widetilde{\delta}_1$. The last summand can then be estimated with the first result in this proof if only $\widetilde{\delta}_1$ is small enough in relation to δ_1. Note also that for $\nabla_y u$ on $I_{r,\,\widetilde{\varepsilon}_2}(\tau)\times B_{\widetilde{\delta}_2 r}(\vec{1})$, the first result is better in terms of the exponent of $r\le 1$ than the second one just proven. So adjusting the sets appropriately and renaming the parameters we gain the local energy estimates

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)}\|\partial_s u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+r^{-2}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)}\|\nabla_y u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)}\|D_x^2 u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}\\&\qquad\lesssim r^{-4}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)}\|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)}\|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L},\end{aligned}$$

where we possibly choose δ_2 even smaller.
Since near $\vec{1}$ weight exponents can be changed at will, this also implies a locali version of the auxiliary estimate from Remark 2.6, namely

$$\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)}\|\nabla_y\nabla_y' u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(B_{\delta_2 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}\lesssim r^{-6}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)}\|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}+r^{-2}\int\limits_{I_{r,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)}\|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1 r}(\vec{1}))}\,d\mathcal{L}.$$

We now turn to the situation near 0 and let thus u be a σ-solution to $f\in L^2\big(I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau);\;L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))\big)$. The very same arguments as above, but for $r=1$ and with the cutoff function

$$\eta\in C_c^\infty(\vec{I}_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)\times B_{\delta_1}(0))$$

with

$$\eta=1\text{ on }\overline{I}_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)\times\overline{B}_{\delta_2}(0)$$

and

$$|\partial_s^j \partial_y^\alpha \eta| \lesssim 1 \text{ for any } j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

works almost without changes. Although the balls can now touch the boundary, in the spatial integration by parts still all the boundary terms vanish: at $y_n = 0$ both the test functions and η can have non-zeron values, but $y_n^{1+\sigma} = 0$ holds there. Lowering weight exponents is also possible locally near 0. The fact that $r = 1$ in this case then allows us to circumvent the increase of the weight exponents we had to use above. Thus, also close to the boundary we deduce the local energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)} \|\partial_s u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_2}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)} \|\nabla_y u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_2}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)} \|D_x^2 u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(B_{\delta_2}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L}\\ \lesssim \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon_2 \in (\varepsilon_1, 1)$ and $\delta_2 \in (0, \delta_1)$ small enough.
An application of the global estimate from Remark 2.6 on the globalised equation combined with yet another decrease of the weight exponents and the use of the local energy estimate gives us control on the L^2-norm of some second order derivatives with weight $y_n^{1+\sigma}$, that is the auxiliary estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\tau)} \|\nabla_y \nabla_y' u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(B_{\delta_2}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L} \lesssim \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L}\\ + \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_{I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau)} \|\nabla_y' f\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))}\,d\mathcal{L}\end{aligned}$$

holds near 0, if only in addition we have $\nabla_y' f \in L^2\big(I_{1,\,\varepsilon_1}(\tau);\ L^2_\sigma(B_{\delta_1}(0))\big)$.
We would now like to iterate the local energy estimate for the homogeneous equation $f = 0$. The reasoning here is the same near 0 and near $\vec{1}$, so we will not distinguish between the cases and merely write I and B in the notation. Naturally, the balls and intervals on the left hand side of the estimates are smaller than the ones on the right hand side in the same fashion as before, and the balls can be centred at $\vec{1}$ or at 0 in the same way, where $r = 1$ for the case away from the boundary.
We start with the tangential directions. For first order derivatives it is immediately clear by the local energy estimate and the auxiliary estimate that the right regularity for being a σ-solution is given on the smaller sets for which those estimates hold. Therefore $\nabla_y' u$ is a σ-solution to the homogeneous equation there, and both estimates can be applied to $\nabla_y' u$. In an induction we make the sets smaller in every step by choosing δ_2 smaller every time. For any given $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}$ we then get that $\partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} u$ is a σ-solution to 0 and consequently the energy estimate holds, that is we have

$$\int\limits_I \|\partial_s \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\,d\mathcal{L} + r^{-2}\int\limits_I \|\nabla_y \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\,d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_I \|D_x^2 \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(B)}\,d\mathcal{L} \lesssim r^{-4-2|\alpha'|}\int\limits_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\,d\mathcal{L}.$$

In the vertical direction, for a given $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$ we assume as an induction hypothesis that $\partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u$ is an $(\alpha_n + \sigma)$-solution to $\nabla_y' \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u$ and that we have both the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_I \|\partial_s \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\,d\mathcal{L} + r^{-2}\int\limits_I \|\nabla_y \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\,d\mathcal{L} + \int\limits_I \|D_x^2 \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\,d\mathcal{L}\\ \lesssim r^{-4-2\alpha_n}\int\limits_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\,d\mathcal{L}\end{aligned} \tag{$*$}$$

and

$$\int_I \|\nabla_y \nabla_y' \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim r^{-6-2\alpha_n} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}. \qquad (**)$$

In case of the base clause $\alpha_n = 1$, by the local energy estimate and a decrease of weight exponents it is clear that $\partial_{y_n} u$ has the regularity required for a $(1+\sigma)$-solution. The auxiliary estimate ensures that also the inhomogeneity $\Delta_x' u$ is in the right space and satisfies

$$\int_I \|\Delta_x' u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2,\delta_1,\delta_2} r^{-6} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}.$$

Therefore $\partial_{y_n} u$ is a $(1+\sigma)$-solution to $\Delta_x' u$. We can thus apply the local energy estimate onto this solution, decrease the weight exponents once and use the local energy estimate as well as the estimate for $\Delta_x' u$ above to get $(*)$ for $\alpha_n = 1$. Similarly, for $(**)$ we use the auxiliary estimate on the newly found solution, lower the weight exponents and use the energy estimate as well as the knowledge on the Laplacian of a solution, albeit this time for $\nabla_y' u$ instead of u.
Likewise, in the inductive step $\alpha_n + 1$ the right regularity follows from the energy estimate and the auxiliary estimate for α_n. More precisely, the latter implies that

$$\int_I \|\Delta_x' \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u\|^2_{L^2_{1+\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim r^{-6-2\alpha_n} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}.$$

Then $\partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n+1} u$ is an $(\alpha_n + 1 + \sigma)$-solution to $\Delta_x' \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n} u$. Applying the local energy estimate on this solution shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_I \|\partial_s \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n+1} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+1+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + r^{-2} \int_I \|\nabla_y \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n+1} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+1+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + \int_I \|D_x^2 \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n+1} u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\alpha_n+1+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \\
&\lesssim r^{-4} \int_I \|\partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n+1} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+1+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + \int_I \|\Delta_x' \partial_{y_n}^{\alpha_n+1} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+1+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim r^{-4-2(\alpha_n+1)} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}
\end{aligned}$$

where the weight exponents were decreased in the first summand of the right hand side before we used the energy estimate $(*)$ from the α_n-the step on it, while the bound for the second summand was already computed above.
The iterated auxiliary estimate also follows as before: first apply the non-iterated auxiliar estimate onto the solution, then lower the weight exponents and apply the iterated energy estimate $(*)$ from the α_n-th step on one summand and the inequality for the Laplacian of the α_n-th derivative once for u itself and once for $\nabla_y' u$. This finishes the induction and thus the proof in the vertical direction.
Finally, we can deal with the time direction now. Given both the tangential and the vertical derivatives, a simple induction as in the tangential case shows that $\partial_s^k u$ is a σ-solution to 0 and we have

$$\int_I \|\partial_s \partial_s^k u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + r^{-2} \int_I \|\nabla_y \partial_s^k u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + \int_I \|D_x^2 \partial_s^k u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim r^{-4-4k} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}.$$

We can then apply first the y_n-directional result, and then the other two estimates succintly on $\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_I \|\partial_s \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + r^{-2} \int_I \|\nabla_y \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} + \int_I \|D_x^2 \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_{2+\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \\
&\lesssim r^{-4-4k-2|\alpha|} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}
\end{aligned}$$

Knowing now that $\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$ is a solution for any k and α we do not need the gain in derivatives any more and can thus state the estimate in the more compact form

$$\int_I \|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim r^{-4k-2|\alpha|} \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}.$$

Then it only remains to treat the weights on the left hand side. It is here that the different cases enter again. Close to $\vec{1}$, an increase of the weights is possible. Near 0, on the other hand, we use Hardy's inequality onto $\eta\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u$, where η is a purely spatial cutoff function near 0 with the same properties as before. After possibly shrinking the domain of integration once more, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_I \|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} &= \int_I \|\eta\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim \sum_{|\beta|=\alpha_n} \int_I \|\partial_y^\beta(\eta\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u)\|^2_{L^2_{2\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{|\beta|=\alpha_n} \sum_{\gamma\le\beta} \int_I \|\partial_y^{\beta-\gamma}\eta\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^{\alpha+\gamma} u\|^2_{L^2_{2\alpha_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

The derivatives of η are bounded by a constant depending on α and $\delta_1,\ \delta_2$ only. But we also have $\alpha_n = |\beta| \ge \beta_n \ge \gamma_n$ and can consequently lower the weight exponent $y_n^{2\alpha_n+\sigma}$ to $y_n^{\alpha_n+\gamma_n+\sigma}$. An application of the result with additional weights in balls centred at 0 shows that

$$\int_I \|\partial_s^k \partial_y^{\alpha+\gamma} u\|^2_{L^2_{\alpha_n+\gamma_n+\sigma}(B)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim \int_I \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B)}\, d\mathcal{L}$$

holds there and the proposition is proven. ■

The iterated local energy estimates in conjunction with a Morrey-type inequality in time-space adapted to our metric and measure generate a pointwise estimate for arbitrary derivatives of a σ-solution, showing that local σ-solutions to the homogeneous problem are indeed smooth away from the initial time and the spatial boundary. Here the invariance of the equation with respect to the scaling transformation A_λ is used to gain the estimate not only in special settings, but near any point $y_0 \in \overline{H}$ and for any radius $r > 0$. According to the position of a ball $B_r(y_0)$ relative to ∂H, we make different choices of the scaling parameter λ. This time also the temporal set has to shrink substantially, governed by a parameter ε. Similar as before we write that a condition holds for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ close enough to 1, if there exists an $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1)$ such that it holds for any $\varepsilon \in (\varepsilon_0, 1)$.

2.9 Proposition *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$.*
If u is a σ-solution to $f = 0$ on $I_r(\tau) \times B_r(y_0)$, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ close enough to 1 and any $\delta \in (0,1)$ small enough there exists a constant $c = c(n, \sigma, k, \alpha, \varepsilon, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y)|^2 \le\ c\ \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)^2\ r^{-2}\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-1} \int_{I_r(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_r(y_0))}\, d\mathcal{L}$$

for any $(s,y) \in \overline{I}_{r,\varepsilon}(\tau) \times B_{\delta r}(y_0)$, where $\vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0) := r^{-2k-|\alpha|}\ (r + \sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-|\alpha|}$.

Proof: As before, in this proof constants in the inequalities depend only on the parameters involved in the calculations. Thanks to translation invariance in any direction save the vertical one, we can assume without loss of generality that $y_0 = (0, \dots, 0, y_{0,n})$ with $y_{0,n} \ge 0$. We set $\kappa := 33^{-1} c_d^{-4}$, and denote the first positive integer that is bigger than $\frac{n+1}{2}$ by m_1, while m_2 will be the first positive integer that is bigger than $\frac{\sigma}{2}$. We also fix $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\delta_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{4c_d^2})$.

Assume first that $\sqrt{y_{0,n}} < \kappa\, r$. Since this means that the ball $B_r(y_0)$ is close to the boundary, a shrinked version of it is contained in a ball centred at 0 and with controlled radius. More precisely, for $\delta \in (0,1)$ small enough we have

$$B_{\delta r}(y_0) \subset B_{(\delta+2\kappa)r}(0).$$

Set $\lambda := r^2$. Then

$$(s,y) \in \overline{I}_{r,\varepsilon}(\tau) \times B_{\delta r}(y_0)$$

implies that

$$A_\lambda^{-1}(s,y) =: (\hat{s},\hat{y}) \in \overline{I}_{1,\varepsilon}(\hat{\tau}) \times B_{4c_d^2(\delta+2\kappa)}(0)$$

with $\hat{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{r^2}$, where the inclusion chain of intrinsic and euclidean balls was used. If δ is so small compared to δ_1 that $4\ c_d^2\ (\delta+2\kappa)$ is small enough compared to $4\ c_d^2\ (\delta_1+2\kappa)$, then the Morrey-type inequality can be applied and for almost any $(s,y) \in \overline{I}_{r,\varepsilon}(\tau) \times B_{\delta r}(y_0)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y)|^2 &= \lambda^{-2k-2|\alpha|}\ |\partial_{\hat{s}}^k \partial_{\hat{y}}^\alpha (u \circ A_\lambda)(\hat{s},\hat{y})|^2 \\ &\lesssim_{n,\sigma,\varepsilon,\delta}\ \lambda^{-2k-2|\alpha|} \sum_{j+|\beta|\le m_1} \sum_{|\gamma|\le m_2} \int\limits_{I_{1,\varepsilon}(\hat{\tau})} \|\partial_{\hat{s}}^{j+k} \partial_{\hat{y}}^{\beta+\gamma+\alpha} (u \circ A_\lambda)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{4c_d^2(\delta_1+2\kappa)}(0))}\ d\mathcal{L}.\end{aligned}$$

We can now use the local energy estimates from Proposition 2.8 near the origin on $u \circ A_\lambda$ in any summand, possibly choosing ε closer to 1 and δ_1 – and all the more δ – so much smaller that $4\ c_d^2\ (\delta_1 + 2\kappa)$ is small enough in relation to $\frac{1}{4c_d^2} - 3\kappa$. Note also that close to the boundary (in the precise sense of the condition above), our definition of κ ensures that

$$B_{\frac{1}{4c_d^2}-3\kappa}(0) \subset B_{\frac{1}{4c_d^2}}(\hat{y}_0)$$

with $\hat{y}_0 := r^{-2} y_0$. Consequently, we get the upper bound

$$\lambda^{-2k-2|\alpha|} \int\limits_{I_1(\hat{\tau})} \|u \circ A_\lambda\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{\frac{1}{4c_d^2}}(\hat{y}_0))}\ d\mathcal{L} \le \lambda^{-2k-2|\alpha|-1-n-\sigma} \int\limits_{I_r(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_r(y_0))}\ d\mathcal{L},$$

where the integral transformation formula adds the volume factor in front of the integral. Since $\lambda = r^2$, we see that the whole factor can be estimated to be

$$\begin{aligned}r^{-4k-4|\alpha|-2-2n-2\sigma} &\lesssim_\alpha \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)^2\ r^{-2}\ r^{-n}\ r^{-n-2\sigma} \lesssim_{n,\sigma} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)^2\ r^{-2}\ r^{-n}\ (r + \sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-n-2\sigma} \\ &\eqsim_{n,\sigma} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)^2\ r^{-2}\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

with the formula for the σ-measure of an intrinsic ball. Note that in the step second to last we distinguish between the cases $n + 2\ \sigma < 0$ and $n + 2\ \sigma \ge 0$, using the assumption $\sqrt{y_{0,n}} < \kappa\ r$ in the latter one.

Assume now $\sqrt{y_{0,n}} \ge \kappa\ r$. We then set $\lambda := y_{0,n}$, and moreover use the abbreviations $\hat{r} := \frac{r}{\sqrt{y_{0,n}}}$ and $\hat{\tau} := \frac{\tau}{y_{0,n}}$. If δ is small enough compared to δ_1, for any $(s,y) \in \overline{I}_{r,\varepsilon}(\tau) \times B_{\delta r}(y_0)$ we have

$$A_\lambda^{-1}(s,y) =: (\hat{s},\hat{x}) \in \overline{I}_{\hat{r},\varepsilon}(\hat{\tau}) \times B_{4c_d^2 \delta \hat{r}}(\vec{1})$$

and the Morrey-type inequality delivers

$$|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y)|^2 \lesssim_{n,\sigma,\varepsilon,\delta} \lambda^{-2k-2|\alpha|} \sum_{j+|\beta|\le m_1} \hat{r}^{4j+2|\beta|-1-n} \int\limits_{I_{\hat{r},\varepsilon}(\hat{\tau})} \|\partial_{\hat{s}}^{j+k} \partial_{\hat{y}}^{\beta+\alpha} (u \circ A_\lambda)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_{4c_d^2 \delta_1 \hat{r}}(\vec{1}))}\ d\mathcal{L}$$

for almost any $(s,y) \in \overline{I}_{r,\varepsilon}(\tau) \times B_{\delta r}(y_0)$.
An application of Proposition 2.8 as before annulates the factor $\hat{r}^{4j+2|\beta|}$ and yields an additional factor $\hat{r}^{-4k-2|\alpha|}$. Transformation of the integral furthermore results in a volume factor. Altogether we get

$$|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y)|^2 \lesssim \lambda^{-2k-2|\alpha|-1-n-\sigma}\ \hat{r}^{-4k-2|\alpha|-n-1} \int\limits_{I_r(\tau)} \|u\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(B_r(y_0))}\ d\mathcal{L}.$$

But we had $\lambda = y_{0,n}$ and $\hat{r} = \frac{r}{y_{0,n}}$ and thus obtain the factor

$$r^{-4k-2|\alpha|-1-n}\ \sqrt{y_{0,n}}^{-2|\alpha|-1-n-2\sigma} \lesssim \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)^2\ r^{-2}\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-1}.$$

■

In the next step we use the local regularity to generate pointwise exponential estimates for solutions of the initial value problem on $\overline{H}$. To this end, consider the function

$$\Psi(y;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0) := \zeta_\Psi \frac{\tilde{d}(y,z_0)^2}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_\Psi+\tilde{d}(y,z_0)^2}} \text{ for any } y\in\overline{H},$$

where $\zeta_\Psi\in\mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon_\Psi>0$ are constants and $z_0\in\overline{H}$ is arbitrary, but fixed.

2.10 Remark *A straightforward calculation shows that* $\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)\in C^1(H)$ *and*

$$\sqrt{y_n}\,|\nabla_y\Psi(x;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)|\le c_L\,|\zeta_\Psi| \text{ for any } x\in\overline{H}$$

with $c_L:=2^6$. *This implies that* Ψ *is a Lipschitz function in terms of the intrinsic metric* d *with the estimate*

$$|\Psi(x;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)-\Psi(y;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)|\le c_L\,|\zeta_\Psi|\,d(x,y).$$

We first extend the norm decrease of global σ-solutions of the homogeneous equation by an exponential factor involving Ψ before proving an exponential L^∞-L^2-estimate.

2.11 Proposition *If* $g\in L^2_\sigma(H)$ *and* u *is a* σ*-solution to* $f=0$ *on* $I\times\overline{H}$ *with initial value* g, *then there exists a constant* $c=c(n,\sigma,k,\alpha)$ *such that*

$$|\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u(s_0,y_0)|\le c\,\frac{\vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s_0-s_1},y_0)}{|B_{\sqrt{s_0-s_1}}(y_0)|_\sigma^{\frac12}}\,e^{2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(s_0-s_1)-\Psi(y_0;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\,\|e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\,g\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$$

for any $y_0\in\overline{H}$ *and any* $s_0\in I$.

Proof: We abbreviate $\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)=:\Psi$. For $s\in I$ define

$$F(s):=e^{-2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(s-s_1)}\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}+2\int\limits_{(s_1,s)}e^{-2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(\varsigma-s_1)}\|\nabla_y(e^\Psi\,u(\varsigma))\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}\,d\mathcal{L}(\varsigma).$$

Then obviously we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_sF(s)=e^{-2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(s-s_1)}\,\partial_s(\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)})-2\,c_L^2\,\zeta_\Psi^2\,e^{-2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(s-s_1)}\,\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\\+2\,e^{-2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(s-s_1)}\,\|\nabla_y(e^\Psi\,u(s))\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\partial_s(\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)})=2\int\limits_H e^{2\Psi}\,u(s)\,\partial_su(s)\,d\mu_\sigma,$$

where the differentiation under the integral is justified by the product rule for bilinear forms. To treat this integral, for a fixed time s, we use $e^{2\Psi}\,u(s)$ formally as a test function for the σ-solution $u(s)$ in the equivalent formulation for σ-solutions with regular temporal derivative (see the proof of Proposition 2.4). The formal consideration can be justified rigorously by a bounded approximation of Ψ. A repeated use of the product rule for derivatives results in

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_H e^{2\Psi}\,u(s)\partial_su(s)\,d\mu_\sigma&=-\|\nabla_y(e^\Psi\,u(s))\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}+\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\,\nabla_y\Psi\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}\\&\le-\|\nabla_y(e^\Psi\,u(s))\|^2_{L^2_{1+\sigma}(H)}+c_L^2\,\zeta_\Psi^2\,\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\|^2_{L^2_\sigma(H)},\end{aligned}$$

where the use of the weighted bound for $\nabla_y\Psi$ from Remark 2.10 is crucial to reduce the weight exponent in the last step.
This shows that $\partial_sF(s)\le0$ on I. Thus F is monotonically decreasing and we have $F(s)\le F(s_1)$, proving that

$$\|e^\Psi\,u(s)\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\le e^{c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2(s-s_1)}\,\|e^\Psi\,g\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}$$

for all $s\in\overline{I}$.

Now our global σ-solution u is also a σ-solution to the initial value problem of the homogeneous equation on $(s_1, s_0) \times B_r(y_0)$ for any time $s_0 \in I$ and any point $y_0 \in \overline{H}$ combined with any radius $r > 0$. For $r := \sqrt{s_0 - s_1}$ we have $I_r(s_1) = (s_1, s_0)$ and can use the pointwise estimate from Proposition 2.9 in the temporal end point s_0 and the spatial centre point y_0 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s_0, y_0)| \\ &\quad \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r, y_0)\, r^{-1}\, |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{y \in B_r(y_0)} e^{-\Psi(y)}\, r \sup_{s \in (s_1, s_0)} \|e^\Psi\, u(s)\|_{L^2_\sigma(B_r(y_0))}.\end{aligned}$$

The monotone decrease of the exponential L^2_σ-norm then infers

$$e^{\Psi(y_0)}; |\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s_0, y_0)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r, y_0)\, |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{y \in B_r(y_0)} e^{\Psi(y_0) - \Psi(y)} e^{c_L^2 \zeta_\Psi^2 r^2}\, \|e^\Psi\, g\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}.$$

The use of the Lipschitz property of Ψ from Remark 2.10 and a short computation on the exponent of the radius factor finishes the proof. ∎

The exponential factor allows us to gain an estimate for rather rough norms of the initial value in terms of the space $X(p)$, proving the first part of Theorem 1.5.

2.12 Proposition *If $g \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ and u is a σ-solution to $f = 0$ on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value g, then there exists a constant $c = c(n, \sigma) > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{X(p)} \le c\, \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$$

for any $1 \le p < \infty$.

Proof: Fix a time $s_0 \in J$ as well as a point $y_0 \in \overline{H}$. For any constant $C > 0$, with u also $u - C$ is a σ-solution to $f = 0$ on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value $g - C$, and an application of Proposition 2.11 with $C := g(y_0)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha \big(u(s, y) - g(y_0)\big)\big|_{(s,y)=(s_0,y_0)}\right| \\ &\quad \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \frac{\vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s_0}, y_0)}{|B_{\sqrt{s_0}}(y_0)|_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}}\, e^{2c_L^2 \zeta_\Psi^2 s_0 - \Psi(y_0; \zeta_\Psi, \varepsilon_\Psi, z_0)} \|e^{(\cdot\,; \zeta_\Psi, \varepsilon_\Psi, z_0)}\, |\cdot - y_0|\, \|_{L^2(H)}\, \|\nabla_y g\|_{L^\infty(H)},\end{aligned}$$

since

$$|g(y) - g(y_0)| \le |y - y_0|\, \|\nabla_y g\|_{L^\infty(H)}$$

by the fundamental theorem of calculus.
To treat the integral we fix a radius $r > 0$ and view the upper half plane H as a disjoint union of annular rings $B_{jr}(y_0) \setminus \overline{B}_{(j-1)r}(y_0) =: R_{r_j}(y_0)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We now specify $\Psi(\cdot\,; \zeta_\Psi, \varepsilon_\Psi, z_0)$ by setting $\zeta_\Psi := -\frac{1}{r}$, choosing ε_Ψ such that $\varepsilon_\Psi < r^2$ and letting y_0 play the role of the parameter point z_0. For $y \in R_{r_j}(y_0)$ we then have

$$\Psi(y; \zeta_\Psi, \varepsilon_\Psi, y_0) \le \frac{-j + 3}{4c_d^2}$$

thanks to an application of the equivalence estimate for the quasi-metric $\tilde{d}$. This amounts to

$$\int\limits_{R_{r_j}(y_0)} e^{2\Psi(\cdot\,; \zeta_\Psi, \varepsilon_\Psi, y_0)}\, |\cdot - y_0|\, d\mu_\sigma \lesssim_{n,\sigma} j^2\, (j^n + j^{2n+2\sigma})\, e^{-\frac{1}{2c_d^2} j}\, r\, (r + \sqrt{y_{0,n}})\, |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma$$

by the doubling property of the metric and the relation between intrinsic and euclidean balls. Summing this over j and setting $r := \sqrt{s_0}$, the special choice of Ψ gives the bound

$$\left|\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s_0, y_0)\right| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s_0}, y_0)\, \sqrt{s_0}\, (\sqrt{s_0} + \sqrt{y_{0,n}})\, \|\nabla_y g\|_{L^\infty(H)}$$

for $k + |\alpha| > 0$ and any $s_0 \in J$ as well as $y_0 \in \overline{H}$, where the convergent series over j is subsumed into the constant. For $k = 0$ and $|\alpha| = 1$ this estimates the first component of the $X(p)$- norm of u.

Now fix also $p \geq 1$ and $y_0 \in \overline{H}$ as well as $0 < R^2 \leq S$. Applying the pointwise bound just obtained after multiplying both sides by y_n^l yields

$$\|y_n^l\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(Q_R(y_0))} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} |Q_R(y_0)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \sup_{(s,y)\in Q_R(y_0)} \left(\vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s},\sqrt{y_n})\ \sqrt{s}\ (\sqrt{s}+\sqrt{y_n})^{1+2l}\right)\ \|\nabla_y g\|_{L^\infty(H)}$$
$$\lesssim |Q_R(y_0)|^{\frac{1}{p}}\ R^{-2k-|\alpha|+1}\ (R+\sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-|\alpha|+1+2l}\ \|\nabla_y g\|_{L^\infty(H)},$$

since the cylinders are bounded away from the initial time, resulting in $s \eqsim R^2$, and the properties of intrinsic balls imply $R+\sqrt{y_n} \eqsim R+\sqrt{y_{0,n}}$ for any $y \in B_R(y_0)$. It is now possible to choose the right orders of derivatives and exponents to fit the definition of $X(p)$ and thus finish the proof. ■

2.3. **Gaussian Estimate and Consequences.** On any arbitrary time interval $I = (s_1, s_2)$, the results shown so far, namely Propositions 2.1 and 2.11, provide us with the existence of a Green function G_σ that characterises any σ-solution on $I \times \overline{H}$ with initial value $g \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ by the representation

$$\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y) = \int_H \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,s_1,z)\ g(z)\ d\mathcal{L}^n(z).$$

More than that, it is even possible to show that G_σ decays exponentially with a bound that takes on the shape of a Gaussian function with respect to the weighted measure μ_σ and the intrinsic metric d. Such an estimate is called Gaussian estimate or Aronson-type estimate after one of the first authors exploring this type of inequalities ([Aro67]). For general uniformely strongly parabolic equations their proof was originally given by means of the Harnack inequality contained in [Mos64] and [Mos67]. This order was reversed by [FS86] and Gaussian estimates were shown directly. The idea was extended by [Koc99] to cover the degenerate parabolic case with measurable coefficients. Our proof simplifies this approach in a special case of constant coefficients and at the same time adds control over the derivatives of the Green function.

2.13 Proposition *Let $k,\ j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as well as $\alpha,\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$. Then there exist constants $c = c(n,\sigma,k,j,\alpha,\beta) > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\partial_\tau^j \partial_z^\beta \left(z_n^{-\sigma}\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)\right)\right| \leq c\ \vartheta_{j+k,\beta+\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau},y)\ |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(z)|_\sigma^{-1}\ e^{-\frac{d(y,z)^2}{C(s-\tau)}}$$

for all $y \neq z \in \overline{H}$ and all $\tau < s \in \overline{I}$, and with any possible combination of the points y and z in the factors $\vartheta_{j+k,\beta+\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau},y)\ |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(z)|_\sigma^{-1}$.

Proof: Fix $\tau_0 < s_0 \in \overline{I}$ as well as $y_0,\ z_0 \in \overline{H}$, and denote $r := \sqrt{s_0-\tau_0}$ and $I_0 := (\tau_0, s_0) \subset I$. We assume that $\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)$ is given such that $e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ g \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ for any $g \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ as well as $e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ \xi \in L^2_\sigma(H)$ for any $\xi \in L^1_\sigma(H)$. Since $L^\infty(H)$ is the dual space to $L^1_\sigma(H)$, for almost any $z \in \overline{H}$ we see

$$\begin{aligned}
&z_n^{-\sigma}\ e^{\Psi(z;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ |B_r(z)|_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau_0,z)\\
&\leq \sup_{\|\xi\|_{L^1_\sigma(H)}\leq 1} \left| \int_H e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ |B_r(\cdot)|_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau_0,\cdot)\ \xi\ d\mu_\sigma \right|\\
&= \sup |\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha v(s_0,y_0)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last supremum is taken over any σ-solution v on $I_0 \times \overline{H}$ with initial value $e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}|B_r(\cdot)|_\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \xi$ and $\|\xi\|_{L^1_\sigma(H)} \leq 1$. Applying the pointwise exponential estimate from Proposition 2.11 onto v for the time $s_0 \in (\frac{s_0+\tau_0}{2}, s_0)$ and with the Lipschitz function

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(\cdot\,;\zeta_{\widetilde{\Psi}},\varepsilon_{\widetilde{\Psi}},\widetilde{z}_0) := \Psi(\cdot\,;-\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0) = -\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)$$

gives

$$|\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha v(s_0,y_0)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ e^{c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2 r^2+\Psi(y_0;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ \|e^{-\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ v(\frac{s_0+\tau_0}{2})\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}.$$

On the other hand, for any arbitrary σ-solution u on $I_0\times\overline{H}$ with initial value $g\in L^2_\sigma(H)$, we define a modified solution operator

$$A: L^2_\sigma(H)\ni e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ g\mapsto e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ u(\frac{s_0+\tau_0}{2}).$$

Denoting the multiplication operator on $L^2_\sigma(H)$ with respect to the function $y\mapsto|B_r(y)|_\sigma^{\frac12}\in L^\infty(H)$ by M, Proposition 2.11 at time $\frac{s_0+\tau_0}{2}$ can be rephrased to

$$\|(M\circ A)(e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}g)\|_{L^\infty(H)}\lesssim_{n,\sigma} e^{c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2 r^2}\ \|e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}g\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}.$$

Thus $M\circ A$ in fact maps into $L^\infty(H)$ and has operator norm bounded by $e^{c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2 r^2}$ times a constant depending on n and σ. Consequently, the dual operator maps $L^1_\sigma(H)$ into $L^2_\sigma(H)$ with the same operator norm, so for any $\xi\in L^1_\sigma(H)$ we have

$$\|\,(M\circ A)^*\,\xi\|_{L^2_\sigma(H)}\lesssim_{n,\sigma} e^{c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2 r^2}\ \|\xi\|_{L^1_\sigma(H)}.$$

The duality equation from Remark 2.3 in the point $\frac{s_0+t_0}{2}$ implies that

$$A^*\circ M^*:\xi\mapsto\ e^{-\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ u^*(\frac{s_0+\tau_0}{2}),$$

where u^* is the σ-solution on $I_0\times\overline{H}$ with initial value $|B_r(\,\cdot\,)|_\sigma^{\frac12}\ e^{\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}\ \xi$, so we can especially set $u^*=v$ and use $\|\xi\|_{L^1_\sigma(H)}\le 1$. The combination of the two estimates for v then yields

$$|\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha v(s_0,y_0)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ e^{2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2 r^2+\Psi(y_0;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)}.$$

This means that we have shown

$$z_n^{-\sigma}\ |\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau_0,z)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ |B_r(z)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ e^{\Psi(y_0;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)-\Psi(z;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)+2c_L^2\zeta_\Psi^2 r^2}$$

for almost all $z\in\overline{H}$. Now fix $z=z_0\neq y_0$, and specify $\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)$ by setting $\varepsilon_\Psi:=3\,\tilde d(y_0,z_0)$ and $\zeta_\Psi:=-c$ for a positive, but apart from that arbitrary constant $c>0$. This meets the requirements we set for $\Psi(\cdot\,;\zeta_\Psi,\varepsilon_\Psi,z_0)$ in the beginning of the proof. In conjunction with the equivalence characterisation of the intrinsic metric we obtain

$$|z_{0,n}^{-\sigma}\ \partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y,{}_0\,\tau_0,z_0)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(r,y_0)\ |B_r(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ |B_r(z_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ e^{-\frac{c}{2c_d}d(y_0,z_0)+2c_L^2c^2r^2}.$$

Finally, we optimise over the constant c to see that the exponent takes on a minimum for

$$c_*=\frac{d(y_0,z_0)}{8c_L^2c_d r^2}.$$

Inserting this value into the inequality then gives the Gaussian estimate

$$|\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau_0,z_0)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s_0-\tau_0},y_0)\ |B_{\sqrt{s_0-\tau_0}}(y_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ |B_{\sqrt{s_0-t_0}}(z_0)|_\sigma^{-\frac12}\ z_{0,n}^{\sigma}\ e^{-\frac{d(y_0,z_0)^2}{C(s_0-\tau_0)}}$$

with $C=32c_d^2c_L^2$. Note now that we can replace the measure of the ball centred at z_0 or the one centred at y_0 by the mutually other one without loosing more than a factor $(1+\frac{d(y_0,z_0)}{\sqrt{s_0-\tau_0}})^{n+\sigma}$. A similar remark applies for $\vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s_0-\tau_0},y_0)$. The exponential decay makes the loss in this exchange controllable at the expense of a portion of the decay expressed by the constant in the exponent. Hence, from now on we do not specify this constant any more, but merely write C even if it changes in the course of the argument.

Note now that there exists a constant $\delta>0$ with $\delta^2<\frac{\tau_0-s_1}{s_0-\tau_0}$. We set $r:=\delta\ \sqrt{s_0-\tau_0}$ and $\widetilde{\tau}_0:=(1+\delta^2)\ \tau_0-\delta^2\ s_0>s_1$, thus obtaining $I_r(\widetilde{\tau}_0)=(\widetilde{\tau}_0,\tau_0)\subset I$. Then for any $\tau\in I_r(\widetilde{\tau}_0)$ we

have $r < s_0 - \tau < (1+c^2)\, r$ and hence $s_0 - \tau \eqsim r$. The duality identity from Remark 2.3 implies the symmetry of the Green function in the form

$$G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z) = \left(\frac{z_n}{y_n}\right)^\sigma G_\sigma(\tau,z,s,y).$$

Thus $z_n^{-\sigma}\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau,z)$ is a σ-solution on $I_r(\widetilde{\tau}_0) \times B_r(z_0)$ with respect to (τ,z) and we can apply the local pointwise estimate 2.9 in the temporal end point τ_0 and the spatial centre point z_0 to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\partial_\tau^j \partial_z^\beta \left(z_{0,n}^{-\sigma}\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau_0,z_0)\right)\right| \\ &\qquad \lesssim \vartheta_{j,\beta}(\sqrt{s_0-\tau_0},z_0) \sup_{\tau \in I_r(\widetilde{\tau}_0)} \sup_{z \in B_r(z_0)} \left(z_n^{-\sigma}\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s_0,y_0,\tau,z)\right)\end{aligned}$$

The Gaussian estimate proven above then shows the statement. ■

2.14 Remark *The Gaussian estimate makes it possible to solve the initial value problem also for more general data not contained in $L^2_\sigma(H)$. Truncating those initial data to reach the L^2_σ-setting and gain solutions through the representation by the Green function, the exponential decay ensures in many cases – as for example for initial data in $L^1_\sigma(H)$, $L^\infty(H)$ and $\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)$ – that the truncated solutions converge. It thus makes sense to speak of a solution with such initial values. See also Proposition 2.12.*

We now state a series of direct consequences of the Gaussian estimate for the Green function that are important for the following. To incorporate the temporal dimension into our intrinsic metric we proceed as in [FSC86] and define

$$D((s,y),(\tau,z)) := \sqrt{|s-\tau| + d(y,z)^2}$$

on $I \times \overline{H}$. Balls with respect to D will be denoted B^D, and we set

$$|\cdot|_{0\times\sigma} := (\mathcal{L} \times \mu_\sigma)(\cdot).$$

Furthermore, abbreviate

$$V := |B^D_{D((s,y),(\tau,z))}(s,y)|_{0\times\sigma} + |B^D_{D((s,y),(\tau,z))}(\tau,z)|_{0\times\sigma}$$

and remember the definition of Calderón-Zygmund exponents in Remark 2.5.

2.15 Corollary *If $l \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ are Calderón-Zygmund exponents, then there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha) > 0$ such that*

$$z_n^{-\sigma}\, y_n^l\, |\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)| \leq c\, V^{-1} \text{ for any } \tau < s \in I \text{ and } y \neq z \in \overline{H},$$

and

$$\left|z_n^{-\sigma}\, y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z) - \overline{z}_n^{-\sigma}\, \overline{y}_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(\overline{s},\overline{y},\overline{\tau},\overline{z})\right| \leq c\, V^{-1} \left(\frac{D((s,y),(\overline{s},\overline{y})) + D((\tau,t),(\overline{\tau},\overline{z}))}{D((s,y),(\tau,z)) + D((\overline{s},\overline{y}),(\overline{\tau},\overline{z}))}\right)$$

for any $s < \tau,\ y \neq z,\ \overline{s} < \overline{\tau},\ , \overline{y} \neq \overline{z}$ that satisfy the condition

$$\frac{D((s,y),(\overline{s},\overline{y})) + D((\tau,z),(\overline{\tau},\overline{z}))}{D((s,y),(\tau,z)) + D((\overline{s},\overline{y}),(\overline{\tau},\overline{z}))} \leq \frac{1}{6}\,. \qquad (*)$$

Proof: Abbreviate

$$K(s,y,\tau,z) := z_n^{-\sigma}\, y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z),$$

suppressing the derivatives. Note that

$$D((s,y),(\tau,z))^{-2} \left(|B_{D((s,y),(\tau,z))}(y)|_\sigma + |B_{D((s,y),(\tau,z))}(z)|_\sigma\right)^{-1} \lesssim V^{-1}.$$

However, the Gaussian estimate and the doubling condition of the metric d reveal that

$$|K(s,y,\tau,z)| \lesssim y_n^l\, \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau},y)\ \left(|B_{D((s,y),(\tau,z))}(y)|_\sigma + |B_{D((s,y),(\tau,z))}(z)|_\sigma\right)^{-1} e^{-\frac{d(y,z)^2}{C(s-\tau)}}$$

for any $\tau < s \in I$ and almost any $y \neq z \in \overline{H}$. if $l - k - |\alpha| = -1$ and $2\,l - |\alpha| \leq 0$.
On the other hand we have that

$$y_n^l\ \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau}, y) \lesssim \sqrt{s-\tau}^{2l-2k-2|\alpha|}$$

if $2\,l - |\alpha| \leq 0$. Thanks to the exponential decay we can modify this to obtain

$$\sqrt{s-\tau}^{2l-2k-2|\alpha|}\ e^{-\frac{d(y,z)^2}{C(s-\tau)}} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha} \sqrt{(s-\tau)+d(y,z)^2}^{2(l-k-|\alpha|)}.$$

For $l - k - |\alpha| = -1$, these estimates exactly imply the desired bound.

For the second part estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|K(t,x,s,y)&-K(\overline{t},\overline{x},\overline{s},\overline{y})|\\ &\leq |K(t,x,s,y) - K(\overline{t},x,s,y)| + |K(\overline{t},x,s,y) - K(\overline{t},\overline{x},s,y)|\\ &+ |K(\overline{t},\overline{x},s,y) - K(\overline{t},\overline{x},\overline{s},y)| + |K(\overline{t},\overline{x},\overline{s},y) - K(\overline{t},\overline{x},\overline{s},\overline{y})|\end{aligned}$$

Exemplarily, we focus on the second term. Consider the arc-length parametrised geodesic Γ that connects y and $\overline{y}$ with respect to the Riemannian metric that generates d. Then the chain rule and the fundamental theorem of calculus show that

$$\begin{aligned}|K(\overline{s},y,\tau,z) - K(\overline{s},\overline{y},\tau,z)| &= \Big| \int\limits_{(a,b)} \nabla_{\Gamma(\varsigma)} K(\overline{t},\Gamma(\varsigma),s,y)\cdot\partial_\varsigma\Gamma(\varsigma)\ d\mathcal{L}(\varsigma)\Big|\\ &\leq d(y,\overline{y}) \sup_{\zeta\in B_{d(y,\overline{y})}(y)} \zeta_n^{\frac12}\ |\nabla_\zeta K(\overline{s},\zeta,\tau,z)|\end{aligned}$$

with $\zeta = \Gamma(\varsigma)$. The Gaussian estimate and some calculations based on condition $(*)$ then imply

$$|K(\overline{s},y,\tau,z) - K(\overline{s},\overline{y},\tau,z)| \lesssim_{n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha}\lesssim \frac{d(y,\overline{y})}{D(s,y,\tau,z)+D(\overline{s},\overline{y},\overline{\tau},\overline{z})}\ D(s,y,\tau,z)^{2l-2k-2|\alpha|+2}\ V^{-1}.$$

We proceed similarly for the other terms, where we also need the generalised version of the Gaussian estimate frome proposition 2.13. ■

If we restrict the time interval to $(0,1)$, the appearance of the Gaussian estimate can be considerably simplified. From here on we distinguish cases $z_n \gtrsim 1$ and $z_n \lesssim 1$, meaning that we fix a constant $c > 0$ and then consider $z_n \geq c$ bounded away from zero and the complementary case $z_n \leq c$ close to zero, without specifying the exact size of c.
The exponents in the next corollary are different from the Calderón-Zygmund exponents we considered before.

2.16 Corollary *Let $I := (0,1)$ as well as $l \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $2\,l - |\alpha| \leq 0$ and $l - |\alpha| > -1$.*

(1) *If $1 \leq q < \frac{n+1}{n+|\alpha|-l}$ and $\sigma\ q > -1$, then there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma,k,\alpha,q)$ such that*

$$\|y_n^l\ \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^q((0,s)\times H)} \leq (1+\sqrt{y_n})^{2l-|\alpha|}\ |B_1(y)|^{\frac1q-1}$$

for all $s \in \overline{I}$ and almost all $y \in \overline{H}$.

(2) *If $1 \leq q < \frac{n+1}{n+|\alpha|-l}$, then there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha,q)$ such that*

$$\|(\,\cdot\,)_n^l\ \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(\cdot,\cdot,\tau,z)\|_{L^q((\tau,1)\times H)} \leq (1+\sqrt{z_n})^{2l-|\alpha|}\ |B_1(z)|^{\frac1q-1}\ (z_n^\sigma)^{\chi_{\{\sigma<0\}}\chi_{\{z_n\lesssim 1\}}}$$

for all $\tau \in \overline{I}$ and almost all $z \in \overline{H}$.

Proof: First consider the spatial integrals only. Fix $(s,y) \in \vec{I}\times\overline{H}$ as well as $1 \leq q < \infty$. For any $\tau \in (0,s)$, the Gaussian estimate implies

$$\int\limits_H |\partial_t^k\partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)|^q\ d\mathcal{L}^n(z) \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha} \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau},y)^q\ |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(y)|_\sigma^{-q} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} e^{-q\frac{(j-1)^2 r^2}{C(s-\tau)}} \int\limits_{B_{jr}(y)} z_n^{\sigma q}\ d\mathcal{L}(z),$$

where we fixed $r > 0$ and covered H with annular rings $B_{jr}(y) \setminus B_{(j-1)r}(y)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. At this point we have to assume $\sigma q > -1$ to be sure that the integral exists. By the doubling condition we get a convergent series with value $|B_r(y)|_{\sigma q}$ times a constant depending on n, σ and q, and the formula for the measure of the balls shows that

$$|B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(y)|_\sigma^{-q} |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(y)|_{\sigma q} \eqsim_{n,\sigma,q} |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(y)|^{1-q}.$$

This results in

$$\|y_n^l \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^q(H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha,q} (\sqrt{s-\tau} + \sqrt{y_n})^{2l}\ \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau}, y)\ |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(y)|^{\frac{1}{q}-1}$$

for all $\tau < s \in \overline{I}$ and almost all $y \in \overline{H}$, and for any q with $\sigma\, q > -1$.
Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\|y_n^l \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,\cdot,\tau,z)\|_{L^q(H)}\\ &\lesssim_{n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha,q} (\sqrt{s-\tau} + \sqrt{z_n})^{2l}\ \vartheta_{k,\alpha}(\sqrt{s-\tau}, z)\ |B_{\sqrt{s-\tau}}(z)|^{\frac{1}{q}-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{z_n}}{\sqrt{s-\tau}+\sqrt{z_n}}\right)^{2\sigma}\end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau < s \in \overline{I}$ and almost all $z \in \overline{H}$, without any restriction on $q \in [1,\infty)$, but an additional dependency of the constant on l.

Integrating the first formula in time with respect to τ over $(0,s)$ then implies

$$\|y_n^l\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\cdot,\cdot)\|^q_{L^q((0,s)\times H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,k,\alpha,q} (1+\sqrt{y_n})^{(2l-|\alpha|)q}\ |B_1(y)|^{1-q} \int\limits_{(0,s)} \tau^{(l-k-|\alpha|-n)q+n}\ d\mathcal{L}(\tau)$$

for $2\,l - |\alpha| \le 0$. Here we used the unit interval in the computation. For any $q < \frac{1+n}{-l+k+|\alpha|}$, this integral converges to

$$c(n,\alpha,k,l,q)\ s^{(l-k-|\alpha|-n)q+n+1}$$

which in turns is bounded for $s \in (0,1]$. It is obvious that the convergence condition can only be satisfied if $-l+k+|\alpha| < 1$. In conjunction with the condition $2\,l - |\alpha| \le 0$ that arouse from the calculations above it becomes clear that only $k = 0$ is admissible and we need to have $-l + |\alpha| < 1$. The second part of the statment is proven identically. ■

2.17 Remark *It is worth pointing out that no temporal derivative can be treated in the fashion of Corollary 2.16. We can not even achieve a bound for the gradient of G_σ in L^q without extra weights to compensate for the spatial derivative either. In fact, the condition on the exponents l and α are satisfied if and only if either $l = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ or $l \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $|\alpha| = 1$.*

The last corollary is a pointwise estimate of Green's function on $(0,1) \times \overline{H}$ on a rather complicated range of values that follows immediately by the Gaussian estimate for $I = (0,1)$.

2.18 Corollary *Let $I := (0,1)$ and $0 < \delta \le \frac{1}{2}$. If $l \ge 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $2\,l - |\alpha| \le 0$, then there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha,\delta) > 0$ such that*

$$y_n^l\ |\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)| \le c\ (1+\sqrt{y_n})^{2l-|\alpha|}\ |B_1(z)|^{-1}\ (z_n^\sigma)^{\chi_{\{\sigma<0\}}\chi_{\{z_n \lesssim 1\}}}\ e^{-\frac{d(y,z)}{C}}$$

for all $s \in [2\ \delta, 1]$, almost all $y \in \overline{H}$ and almost all $(\tau,z) \in \big([0,s)\times\overline{H}\big) \setminus ((\delta,s)\times B_1(y))$, and the same statement holds with any possible combination of the points y and z in the factors $(1+\sqrt{y_n})^{2l-|\alpha|}\ |B_1(z)|^{-1}$.

We now abandon the situation where $f = 0$ and use the corollaries to gain estimates against the inhomogeneity.

2.19 Remark *By Duhamel's principle, solutions of the inhomogeneous equation can be expressed in terms of G_σ: For $I = (s_1, s_2) \subset \mathbb{R}$, any u given by*

$$u(s,y) := \int\limits_{(s_1,s)\times H} G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z) f(\tau,z)\, d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z)$$

for all $s \in I$ and almost all $y \in \overline{H}$ will be considered a σ-solution to f on $I \times \overline{H}$ with initial value $g = 0$, whenever the integral makes sense. This is consistent with Definition 1.4, since the notions of solution coincide for $f \in L^1_{loc}\big(I;\, L^2_\sigma(\Omega)\big)$. We will not specify conditions on f any more in the sequel.

Let us now concentrate on $I = J = (0, S)$ again. Duhamel's principle enables us to view the operator that maps inhomogeneities to solutions as integral kernel operators. Since $(J \times \overline{H}, \mathcal{L} \times \mu_\sigma, D)$ is a space of homogenous type, the theory of Calderón-Zygmund can be applied in this non-euclidean setting to gain L^p-estimates. The basic reference for this material is [Ste93]. It was noted by [CW77] that the arguments do not depend on the euclidean structure of the underlying space. The definitions and statements can be found in [Koc04] and [Koc08]. For a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the symbolic notation $\alpha - 1$ means that we consider $\alpha - \gamma$ for a $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\gamma| = 1$.

2.20 Proposition *If u is a σ-solution to f on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value $g = 0$, if $\max\left\{1, \frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$, and if $l \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ are such that l, k and $\alpha - 1$ are Calderón-Zygmund exponents, then there exists a constant $c = c(n, \sigma, l, k, \alpha, p)$ such that*

$$\|y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(J\times H)} \leq c\, \|\nabla_y f\|_{L^p(J\times H)}.$$

Proof: For fixed $l \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ consider the operator

$$L^2(J \times H, \mathcal{L} \times \mu_\sigma) \ni f \mapsto y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u \in L^2(J \times H, \mathcal{L} \times \mu_\sigma)$$

with kernels

$$K(s,y,\tau,z) = z_n^{-\sigma}\, y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)$$

that are continuous where $(s, y) \neq (\tau, z)$. By Corollary 2.15, these kernels satisfy the Calderón-Zygmund cancellation conditions on $(J\times\overline{H}, D, \mathcal{L}\times\mu_\sigma)$ if (l, k, α) are Calderón-Zygmund exponents. As noted in Remark 2.5, these are exactly the exponents for which the operators are bounded on $L^2(J\times H, \mathcal{L}\times\mu_\sigma)$. It follows that those operators are of Calderón-Zygmund type and hence extend to bounded operators on $L^p(J \times H, \mathcal{L} \times \mu_\sigma)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$ with the estimate

$$\int\limits_J \|y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|^p_{L^p_\sigma(H)}\, d\mathcal{L} \lesssim_p \int\limits_J \|f\|^p_{L^p_\sigma(H)}\, d\mathcal{L}.$$

The theory of Muckenhoupt weights allows us to formulate this result in unweighted spaces. One checks that $y_n^{\theta-\sigma}$ is a p-Muckenhoupt weight with respect to μ_σ if and only if

$$-1 < \theta < p\,(\sigma + 1) - 1.$$

Thus any Calderón-Zygmund operator with respect to μ_σ also extends to all spaces $L^p(x_n^{\theta-\sigma} d\mu_\sigma) = L^p(\mu_\theta)$, and the same is true for the corresponding time-space setting. Note that $\theta = 0$ is always admissible if $\sigma \geq 0$, whereas for negative σ we need the extra condition $p > \frac{1}{1+\sigma}$. In turn, this does not constitute a restriction for p in case $\sigma \geq 0$. For this range of p it follows that for Calderón-Zygmund exponents (l, k, α) we have

$$\|y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(J\times H)} \lesssim_p \|f\|_{L^p(J\times H)}.$$

Now that we have gotten rid of the weights, we can also take extra derivatives into consideration. Given that $\nabla'_x u$ is a σ-solution to $\nabla'_x f$, we have also shown that

$$\|y_n^l\, \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha \nabla'_y u\|_{L^p(J\times H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha} \|\nabla'_y f\|_{L^p(J\times H)}$$

for any exponents l, k and α that are of Calderón-Zygmund type, and especially also for $l=0$, $k=0$ and $|\alpha|=1$. For the vertical direction, however, we can use that $\partial_{y_n}u$ is a $(1+\sigma)$-solution to

$$\partial_{y_n} f + (1+\sigma)\ \Delta'_y u$$

by Remark 2.7. Thus

$$\|y_n^l\ \partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha \partial_{y_n} u\|_{L^p(J\times H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha} \|\partial_{y_n} f\|_{L^p(I\times H)} + \|\Delta'_y u\|_{L^p(I\times H)}$$

which has the required bound because of the previous considerations. ■

For the moment we set $S=1$ and work on $I=J=(0,1)$. On the diagonal of $(J\times\overline{H})\times(J\times\overline{H})$, that is for inhomogeneities f that are supported on increased cylinders

$$\widetilde{Q}_r(y_0) := (\frac{1}{4}r^2, r^2)\times B_{2r}(y_0),$$

we get a local version of the L^p-estimate. For a clearer presentation we abbreviate

$$\|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)} := \sup_{\substack{0<R^2<S\\ z\in H}} R^\theta\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|\nabla_y f\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))}.$$

Note that this notation contains a derivative of f.

2.21 Proposition *Let $J:=(0,1)$, $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$, $y_0\in\overline{H}$ and $\operatorname{supp} f\subset\overline{\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0)}$. If u is a σ-solution to f on $J\times\overline{H}$ with initial value $g=0$, if $\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\}<p<\infty$, and if $l\geq 0$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$ are such that l, k and $\alpha-1$ are Calderón-Zygmund-exponents, then there exists a constant $c=c(n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha,p,\theta)>0$ such that*

$$(1+\sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-2l+|\alpha|-1}\ |Q_1(y_0)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|y_n^l\ \partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(Q_1(y_0))} \leq c\ \|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)}.$$

Proof: The Calderón-Zygmund estimate from Proposition 2.20 immediately yields

$$\|y_n^l\ \partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(Q_1(y_0))} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,n,k,\alpha,p} \|\nabla_y f\|_{L^p(\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0))}$$

for Calderón-Zygmund exponents l, k and α and any max $\left\{1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\}<p<\infty$.

Consider now radii $R_1:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $R_2:=1$ and $R_3:=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. Independent of the location of y_0 in $\overline{H}$ we can find N_1 points $\{z_{j_1}\}$, N_2 points $\{z_{j_2}\}$ and N_3 points $\{z_{j_3}\}$, all of them contained in $\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0)$ and with N_i only depending on n for $i=1,\ 2,\ 3$, such that the collection $\{Q_{R_i}(z_{j_i})\mid j_i=1,\dots,N_i,\ i=1,\ 2\ ,3\}$ covers $\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0)$. It follows that

$$\|\nabla_y f\|_{L^p(\widetilde{Q}_1(x_0))} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha,p,\theta} |Q_1(y_0)|^{\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)},$$

where we used the doubling property to shrink balls into $Q_1(y_0)$. This is the localisation of the Calderón-Zygmund estimate.
Now recall that for any Calderón-Zygmund exponents $(l,k,\alpha-1)$ we have that $-2\,l+|\alpha|-1\geq 0$, and the only case for which $-2\ l+|\alpha|-1$ is non-zero is the one with $l=0$, $k=0$ and $|\alpha|=2$. Furthermore, if we consider a y_0 with $\sqrt{y_{0,n}}\lesssim 1$, we have $(1+\sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-2l+|\alpha|-1}\eqsim_{l,\alpha} 1$ and the inequlity we showed is equivalent to the statement of this proposition.

For the case $\sqrt{y_{0,n}}\gtrsim 1$ and $l=0$, $k=0$, $|\alpha|=2$, we study the kernels

$$K(s,y,\tau,z) = z_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \nabla_y G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)$$

for the unweighted L^2-operators that send f to $y_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \nabla_y u$. For $\sigma\geq 0$, an application of Corollary 2.16 with $q=1$ ensures that the L^1-norm of this kernels taken with respect to (s,y) as well as the ones taken with respect to (τ,z) are bounded. Thus Schur's lemma can be used to conclude that

$$\|y_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \nabla_y u\|_{L^p(J\times H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma} \|f\|_{L^p(J\times H)}$$

for any $1 \le p \le \infty$. As before, we now use that $\partial_{y_j} u$ is a σ-solution to $\partial_{y_j} f$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, while for the vertical direction $\partial_{y_n} u$ is a $(1+\sigma)$-solution to $\partial_{y_n} f + (1+\sigma)\Delta'_y u$. Thus,

$$\|y_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\ D_y^2 u\|_{L^p(J\times H)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma} \|\nabla_y f\|_{L^p(J\times H)} + \|\Delta'_y u\|_{L^p(J\times H)},$$

and the last summand is bounded by the second to last thanks to the unweighted Calderón-Zygmund-estimate from Proposition 2.20.

The resulting estimate can be localised as above, and we get

$$\|y_n^{\frac{1}{2}}\ D_y^2 u\|_{L^p(Q_1(y_0))} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,p,\theta} |Q_1(y_0)|^{\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)}.$$

However, for any $y \in B_1(y_0)$ we have that $y_n \eqsim y_{0,n}$ if $\sqrt{y_{0,n}} \gtrsim 1$ as in our present case. Together with the first result for $l = 0$, $k = 0$ and $|\alpha| = 2$, this amounts to the improved inequality

$$(1+\sqrt{y_{0,n}})\ \|D_y^2 u\|_{L^p(Q_1(y_0))} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,\theta} |Q_1(y_0)|^{\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)}$$

in case of $\sqrt{y_{0,n}} \gtrsim 1$, proving the statement for $\sigma \ge 0$.

For the last remainig case, we now consider $-1 < \sigma < 0$ and $\sqrt{y_{0,n}} \gtrsim 1$. The latter also means that we have $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \{z \mid z_n \gtrsim 1\}$, and thus, also in the case where σ is not in the good range, Corollary 2.16 can be applied to the kernels K truncated to the support of f. This makes it possible to invoke Schur's Lemma once more and get the same bound as before. The iteration in the tangential direction can be done as before. For a similar treatment of the vertical derivative, however, we lack the guarantee that the corresponding inhomogeneity $\partial_{y_n} f + (1+\sigma)\ \Delta'_y u$ has support in the right region. But $\partial_{y_n} u$ is a $(1+\sigma)$-solution to this right hand side, and since $(1+\sigma) \ge 0$ we can apply the result we obtained in case of positive σ on this solution. We can procede in the same fashion as before to finish the proof. ■

We now turn to inhomogeneities that are supported away from the diagonal. The corresponding norm is here denoted by

$$\|f\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)} := \sup_{\substack{0<R^2\le S\\ z\in H}} (R+\sqrt{z_n})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ R^{2-\varepsilon_2}\ |Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))}.$$

2.22 Proposition *Let $J := (0,1)$, $\varepsilon_1 \ge 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$. Fix $y \in \overline{H}$ as well as $0 < \delta \le \frac{1}{2}$ and let $\operatorname{supp} f \subset \big(\overline{J}\times\overline{H}\big) \setminus ((\delta,1)\times B_1(y))$. If u is a σ-solution to f on $J\times\overline{H}$ with initial value $g = 0$, if $\frac{1}{1+\sigma} < p < \infty$, and if $l \ge 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $2\,l - |\alpha| \le 0$, then there exists a constant $c = c(n,\sigma,l,k,\alpha,p,\delta,\varepsilon_2) > 0$ such that*

$$(1+\sqrt{y_n})^{-2l+|\alpha|-\varepsilon_1}\ y_n^l\ |\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y)| \le c\ \|f\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)}$$

for all $s \in [2\,\delta, 1]$.

Proof: We first consider the case $\sigma \ge 0$. Fix $s \in [2\,\delta, 1]$. By Duhamel's principle 2.19 we get

$$y_n^l\ |\partial_s^k \partial_y^\alpha u(s,y)| \le \int\limits_{\big((0,s)\times\overline{H}\big)\setminus((\delta,s)\times B_1(y))} y_n^l\ |\partial_s \partial_y^\alpha G_\sigma(s,y,\tau,z)|\ |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z).$$

This is only possible for $\delta \le s \le 1$, and for $2\,\delta \le s \le 1$, the application of Corollary 2.18 under the integral is justified if $2\,l - |\alpha| \le 0$. As a result we get the upper bound

$$(1+\sqrt{y_n})^{2l-|\alpha|+\varepsilon_1} \int\limits_{(0,1)\times H} (1+\sqrt{z_n})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ |B_1(z)|^{-1}\ e^{-\frac{d(y,z)}{C}}\ |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z)$$

for an arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 \ge 0$ and with a constant that depends on n, σ, l, k, α and δ. For the computation of the integral we first cover $\overline{H}$ with a countable number of balls $B_1(z_0)$. This leads

to

$$\int\limits_{(0,1)\times H} (1+\sqrt{z_n})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ |B_1(z)|^{-1}\ e^{-\frac{d(y,z)}{C}}\ |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z)$$
$$\leq \sup_{z_0} \int\limits_{(0,1)\times B_1(z_0)} (1+\sqrt{z_n})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ |B_1(z)|^{-1}\ |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z) \sum_{z_0} e^{-\frac{d(y,z_0)}{C}}$$

and the series in the back converges uniformely in y.
We now cover the time interval $(0,1)$ by $(\frac{1}{2}\ R_m^2, R_m^2)$, $m \in N_0$, where $R_m := 2^{-\frac{m}{2}}$. Furthermore, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and any z_0 we cover $B_1(z_0)$ with $N(m)$ balls $B_{R_m}(z_i)$, $z_i \in B_1(y_0)$. This is possible by Vitali's covering lemma ([Koc04]), which also ensures that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} |B_{R_m}(z_i)|_\sigma \lesssim_{n,\sigma} |B_1(z_0)|_\sigma$$

independent of m. Note that $(2^{-m-1}, 2^{-m}) \times B_{R_m}(z_i) = Q_{R_m}(z_i)$ and we therefore now look at the expression

$$\sup_{z_0} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} \int\limits_{Q_{R_m}(z_i)} (1+\sqrt{z_n})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ |B_1(z)|^{-1}\ |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z).$$

We consider the cases $z_{0,n} \lesssim 1$, that is the situation close to the boundary of H, and $z_{0,n} \gtrsim 1$ separately, starting with the latter one away from the boundary. If the distance of z_0 to the boundary is sufficiently big, then $|B_1(z)| \eqsim_n |B_1(z_0)|$ for any $y \in B_1(z_0)$ and thus also $(1+\sqrt{z_n})^{-\varepsilon_1} \eqsim_n (R_m + \sqrt{z_{i,n}})^{-\varepsilon_1}$ for any $z \in B_{R_m}(z_i)$ with $z_i \in B_1(z_0)$. An application of Hölder's inequality then shows that

$$\sup_{z_{0,n}\gtrsim 1} |B_1(z_0)|^{-1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} (R_m + \sqrt{z_{i,n}})^{-\varepsilon_1} \int\limits_{Q_{R_m}(z_i)} |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z)$$
$$\leq \sup_{z_{0,n}\gtrsim 1} |B_1(z_0)|^{-1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_m^{\varepsilon_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} (R_m + \sqrt{z_{i,n}})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ |B_{R_m}(z_i)|\ R_m^{2-\varepsilon_2}\ |Q_{R_m}(z_i)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{L^p(Q_{R_m}(z_i))}.$$

Taking the supremum over $0 < R \leq 1$ and $z \in \overline{H}$ then leads to the upper bound

$$\|f\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_m^{\varepsilon_2}$$

by virtue of the Vitali-property discussed above. For any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ also this series converges and can therefore be subsumed into the constant.
In the case that z_0 is close to the boundary we vary the arguments slightly to obtain

$$\sup_{z_{0,n}\lesssim 1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} \int\limits_{Q_{R_m}(z_i)} |f(\tau,z)|\ d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z) \leq \sup_{z_{0,n}\lesssim 1} |B_1(z_0)|\ \|f\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_m^{\varepsilon_2}.$$

But for any $z_{0,n} \lesssim 1$ it is clear that $|B_1(z_0)| \lesssim_n 1$ and thus the same upper bound as above follows for any $\varepsilon_2 > 0$.
It remains to consider the case $-1 < \sigma < 0$ that generates an additional factor $(z_n^\sigma)^{\chi_{\{z_n\lesssim 1\}}}$. Whenever $z_n \lesssim 1$ – a possibility that only realises itself if $z_{0,n} \lesssim 1$ – we therefore have to deal with an additional z_n^σ under the integral. The application of Hölder's inequality then results in

$$\sup_{z_{0,n}\lesssim 1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0} R_m^{\varepsilon_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} (R_m + \sqrt{z_{i,n}})^{-\varepsilon_1}\ R_m^{2(1-\frac{1}{p})-\varepsilon_2}\ |B_{R_m}(z_i)|_{\sigma\frac{p}{p-1}}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\ \|f\|_{L^p(Q_{R_m}(z_i))}$$

if $\sigma\,(1-\frac{1}{p})>-1$. The last condition is equivalent to $p>\frac{1}{1+\sigma}$ for $-1<\sigma<0$, and does not pose a restriction on p if $\sigma\geq 0$. Now the formula for the measure of intrinsic balls asserts that

$$|B_{R_m}(z_i)|_{\sigma\frac{p}{p-1}}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\eqsim_{n,\sigma}|B_{R_m}(z_i)|^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\,(R_m+\sqrt{z_i,n})^{2\sigma}\eqsim_{n,\sigma}|B_{R_m}(z_i)|_\sigma\,|B_{R_m}(z_i)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

and a reiteration of the steps above, this time using Vitali's lemma for the measure μ_σ, implies the upper bound

$$\sup_{z_{0,n}\lesssim 1}|B_1(z_0)|_\sigma\,\|f\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{N}_0}R_m^{\varepsilon_2}.$$

The fact that also $|B_1(z_0)|_\sigma\lesssim_{n,\sigma}1$ if $z_{0,n}\lesssim 1$ concludes the proof. ■

We have now finally reached the estimate that proves the second part of Theorem 1.5. To this end, we combine the off-diagonal pointwise estimate from Proposition 2.21 with a pointwise estimate for an arbitrary inhomogeneity on $(0,1)$ and integrate it to get the off-diagonal complement of the on-diagonal L^p estimate from Proposition 2.22. Subsequently, both results are rescaled onto $J=(0,S)$ by the invariant scaling A_λ. In the following we still allow $S=\infty$ and use the convention that $r^2\leq S$ means $r^2<\infty$ in this case.

2.23 Proposition *Let* $\max\left\{n+1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\}<p<\infty$. *If* $f\in Y(p)$, $g\in\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)$ *and* u *is a* σ*-solution to* f *on* $J\times\overline{H}$ *with initial value* $g=0$, *then there exists a constant* $c=c(n,\sigma,p)>0$ *such that*

$$\|u\|_{X(p)}\leq c\,\|f\|_{Y(p)}.$$

Proof: Throughout this proof, the dependency of the constants on the parameters will be suppressed in the notation.
Assume first $S=1$. Fix an arbitrary $y\in\overline{H}$ and set $f_1:=\chi_{Q_1(y)}\,f$, $f_2:=(1-\chi_{Q_1(y)})\,f$. Then $\operatorname{supp}f_1\subset\overline{Q_1(y)}$, $\operatorname{supp}f_2\subset\left(\overline{J}\times\overline{H}\right)\setminus Q_1(y)$, and denoting the σ-solutions to f_1 and f_2 on $J\times\overline{H}$ with zero initial value by u_1 and u_2, respectively, we also get $u=u_1+u_2$.

For the on-diagonal part u_1 we use Duhamel's principle to get

$$|u_1(1,y)|\leq\int\limits_{J\times H}|G_\sigma(1,y,\tau,z)|\,|f_1(\tau,z)|\,d\mathcal{L}^{n+1}(\tau,z)\leq\|G(1,y,\cdot,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(J\times H)}\,\|f_1\|_{L^p(J\times H)}$$

with Hölder's inequality for any $1\leq p\leq\infty$. But for $k=0$, $l=0$ and $|\alpha|=0$, the first factor can be treated with Corollary 2.16 if both $1\leq\frac{p}{p-1}<\frac{n+1}{n}$ and $\sigma\frac{p}{p-1}>-1$, and these conditions on p are equivalent to $\max\left\{n+1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\}<p<\infty$.
Iterating the notion of σ-solution with respect to derivatives once more while using the Calderón-Zygmund estimate from Proposition 2.20 to bound the norm of the Laplacian, we obtain

$$|\nabla_y u_1(1,y)|\lesssim|B_1(y)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,\|\nabla_y f_1\|_{L^p(J\times H)}.$$

Note that we did not use the on-diagonal support of f_1 in this calculation.

In conjunction with the pointwise off-diagonal estimate from Proposition 2.22, the latter for $\delta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $(l,k,|\alpha|)=(0,0,1)$, we then find

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla_y u(1,y)|&\leq|\nabla_y u_1(1,y)|+|\nabla_y u_2(1,y)|\\&\lesssim\;|B_1(y)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,\|\nabla_y f_1\|_{L^p(Q_1(y))}+(1+\sqrt{y_n})^{-1+\varepsilon_1}\|f_2\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$|\nabla_y u(1,y)|\lesssim\|f\|_{Y^{on}_\theta(p)}+\|f\|_{Y^{off}_{1,\varepsilon_2}(p)},$$

for any $\varepsilon_2>0$ and any $\max\left\{n+1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\}<p<\infty$, where we set $\varepsilon_1:=1$.

For the L^p-estimate, we split f slightly differently. So fix an arbitrary $y_0 \in \overline{H}$ and consider

$$f = f\,\chi_{\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0)} + f\,(1-\chi_{\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0)}) =: f_1 + f_2.$$

Note that then

$$\operatorname{supp} f_1 \subset \overline{\widetilde{Q}_1(y_0)} \text{ and } \operatorname{supp} f_2 \subset \left(\overline{J}\times\overline{H}\right) \setminus \left((\frac{1}{4},1)\times B_2(y_0)\right).$$

Again we study the σ-solutions u_1 and u_2 to f_1 and f_2, respectively.
For the on-diagonal part we use Proposition 2.21 and immediately get for almost any $y_0 \in \overline{H}$ that

$$(1+\sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-2l+|\alpha|-1}\,|Q_1(y_0)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,\|y_n^l\,\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u_1\|_{L^p(Q_1(y_0))} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)}$$

for any $\theta\in\mathbb{R}$ and any $\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$, if $(l,k,\alpha-1)$ are Calderón-Zygmund-exponents. Off-diagonal, on the other hand, we first note that by the triangle inequality we have $B_1(y) \subset B_2(y_0)$ for any $y \in \overline{B}_1(y_0)$. For any such y and any $s \in [\frac{1}{2},1]$, that is for $(s,y) \in \overline{Q_1(y_0)}$ it is therefore clear that

$$\operatorname{supp} f_2 \subset \left(\overline{J}\times\overline{H}\right) \setminus \left((\frac{1}{4},1)\times B_1(y)\right)$$

and thus the requirements needed for 2.22 are met with $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$. An integration yields

$$(1+\sqrt{y_{0,n}})^{-2l+|\alpha|-\varepsilon_1}\,|Q_1(y_0)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,\|y_n^l\,\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u_2\|_{L^p(Q_1(y_0))} \lesssim \|f_2\|_{Y^{off}_{\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(p)}$$

for any $\varepsilon_1 \geq 0$, $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and any $\max\left\{1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$, if $2\,l - |\alpha| \leq 0$. We can put this together with the on-diagonal estimates if we set $\varepsilon_1 := 1$.

Turning to the rescalation of these estimate, we let now $J = (0,J)$ with $S > 0$ arbitrary and assume that u is a σ-solution to f on $J\times\overline{H}$ with initial value 0. Then u is also a σ-solution to f on $(0,s)\times\overline{H}$ for any $s \in (0,S]$ with vanishing initial value. Denoting the scaling function by $A_\lambda : (\hat{s},\hat{y}) \mapsto (\lambda\,\hat{s},\lambda\,\hat{y}) = (s,y)$ as above, we start with the pointwise estimate. For any $(s,y) \in J\times\overline{H}$ we get

$$|\nabla_y u(s,y)| = \lambda^{-1}\left|\nabla_{\hat{y}}(u\circ A_\lambda)(\frac{s}{\lambda},\frac{1}{\lambda}y)\right|.$$

Now fix an $s \in J$ and choose $\lambda := s$. Since $u\circ A_\lambda$ is a σ-solution to $\lambda\,(f\circ A_\lambda)$ on $(0,1)\times\overline{H}$ with initial value 0, we can apply the above estimate to get

$$|\nabla_y u(s,y)| \lesssim s^{1-\frac{\theta}{2}}\|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)} + s^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon_2}{2}}\|f\|_{Y^{off}_{1,\varepsilon_2}(p)}$$

for any $\max\left\{n+1,\frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$ We then set $\varepsilon_2 := -\theta+3$, hereby restricting ourselves to $\theta < 3$.

Finally, rescale the L^p-estimate by noting that u is also a σ-solution to f on $(0,r^2)\times\overline{H}$ for any $0 < r^2 \leq S$ with initial value $g = 0$. We then have

$$\|y_n^l\,\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(Q_r(y_0))} = \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{p}+l-k-|\alpha|}\,\|\hat{y}_n^l\,\partial_{\hat{s}}^k\partial_{\hat{y}}^\alpha(u\circ A_\lambda)\|_{L^p(A_\lambda^{-1}(Q_r(y_0)))}.$$

We can see that

$$A_\lambda^{-1}(Q_r(y_0)) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N Q_{\frac{r}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}(\lambda^{-1}y_i) \text{ for } \lambda^{-1}y_i \in B_{4c_d^2\frac{r}{\sqrt{\lambda}}}(\lambda^{-1}y_0)$$

and a number N only depending on the dimension n. With $\lambda = r^2$ and the invariance of the scaling that makes $u\circ A_{r^2}$ a σ-solution to $r^2\,(f\circ A_{r^2})$ on $(0,1)\times\overline{H}$, we can apply the statement proven above in every summand to get

$$\|y_n^l\,\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(Q_r(y_0))} \lesssim r^{\frac{2n+2}{p}+2l-2k-2|\alpha|+2}\sum_{i=1}^N\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{y_{i,n}}{r^2}}\right)^{2l-|\alpha|+1}|Q_1(r^{-2}y_i)|^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\left(\|f\circ A_{r^2}\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)} + \|f\circ A_{r^2}\|_{Y^{off}_{1,\varepsilon_2}(p)}\right),$$

where the last two expressions are understood with respect to the time interval $(0,1)$ and the gradient in $\|\cdot\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)}$ is taken with respect to $\hat{y}$. We set $\varepsilon_2 := 3-\theta$ for $\theta < 3$ and in the end obtain

$$\sup_{\substack{0<R^2\le S\\ z\in H}} R^{2k+|\alpha|-3+\theta}\,(R+\sqrt{z_n})^{-2l+|\alpha|-1}\,|Q_R(z)|^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,\|y_n^l\,\partial_s^k\partial_y^\alpha u\|_{L^p(Q_R(z))} \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_\theta^{on}(p)} + \|f\|_{Y^{off}_{1,3-\theta}(p)}$$

for any $\theta < 3$ and any $\max\left\{1, \frac{1}{1+\sigma}\right\} < p < \infty$, if $l \ge 0$, $k \in N_0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ are such that l, k and $\alpha - 1$ are Calderón-Zygmund-exponents. These are exactly the exponents in the definition of $X(p)$, and setting $\theta := 2$ we obtain

$$Y_2^{on}(p) \cap Y_{1,1}^{off}(p) = Y(p).$$

■

2.4. **Proof of the Nonlinear Theorem.** We closely follow [KL12] and use a fixed point argument in special function spaces to get existence and uniqueness for the nonlinear problem. In [KT01], the choice of these function spaces was motivated by the square function characterisation of BMO. With an idea developped in [Ang90] that was pushed further also by [KL12] we additionally get analyticity in the temporal and tangential directions of the solution we construct as a consequence.

We first consider our non-linearity $f[u]$ again.

2.24 Lemma *If $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $0 < r < 1$, then the mapping $\overline{B}_r^{X(p)} \ni u \mapsto f[u] \in Y(p)$ is analytic and there exists a constant $c = c(n,p) > 0$ such that*

$$\|f[u]\|_{Y(p)} \le c\,\frac{1}{(1-r)^3}\,\|u\|_{X(p)}^2 \text{ for all } u \in \overline{B}_r^{X(p)}$$

as well as

$$\|f[u_1] - f[u_2]\|_{Y(p)} c\,\frac{r}{(1-r)^6}\,\|u_1 - u_2\|_{X(p)} \text{ for all } u_1,\ u_2 \in \overline{B}_r^{X(p)}.$$

Proof: This is due to the special structure of $f[u]$ and a weighted Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality. ■

We come to the proof of the main theorem for the nonlinear PE.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Define the function

$$F : \dot{C}^{0,1}(H) \times X(p) \to X(p)$$

by assigning $(g,u) \in \dot{C}^{0,1}(H) \times X(p)$ to the σ-solution to $f[u]$ on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value g. By Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 2.24 we can find a $r_1 > 0$ and an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for any $g \in \overline{B}_{\varepsilon_1}^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$ the map $F(g,\cdot)$ is a contraction within $\overline{B}_{r_1}^{X(p)}$. Hence the contraction mapping principle provides us with a unique fixed point $u_* \in \overline{B}_{r_1}^{X(p)}$ that depends on g in a Lipschitz continuous way. Thus u_* is the unique global σ-solution for the nonlinear equation with initial value g. The bound on $\|u_*\|_{X(p)}$ especially implies that u_* is Lipschitz in time and space. By [Koc99, Theorem 5.6.1.] the smoothness of u_* follows.
But moreover, F and therefore also

$$G : \dot{C}^{0,1}(H) \times X(p) \to X(p),\ G(g,u) := u - F(g,u)$$

are analytic on $\dot{C}^{0,1}(H) \times \overline{B}_{r_1}^{X(p)}$. Since $G(0,0) = 0$ and $D_u G(0,0) = \mathrm{id}$, the analytic implicit function theorem on Banach spaces is applicable ([Dei85]) and yields the existence of balls

$$\overline{B}_{\varepsilon_2}^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)} \subset \dot{C}^{0,1}(H) \text{ and } \overline{B}_{r_2}^{X()} \subset \overline{B}_{r_1}^{X(p)}$$

alongside with an analytic function

$$A : B_{\varepsilon_2}^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)} \to B_{r_2}^{X(p)}$$

that satisfies $A(0) = 0$ and $F(g,u) = u$ for any $g \in B_{\varepsilon_2}^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$ and $u \in B_{r_2}^{X(p)}$ if and only if $u = A(g)$. By the uniqueness of the fixed point in $\overline{B}_{r_1}^{X(p)} \supset B_{r_2}^{X(p)}$ above we conclude that A sends $g \in B_{\varepsilon_3}^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(J)}$ to u_* analytically, where $\varepsilon_3 := \min\,\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$.

We now consider $(\tau, \xi') \in B_{\kappa_1}^{\mathbb{R}}(1) \times B_{\delta_1}^{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$ and define

$$f_{\tau,\xi'}[u] := \tau\, f[u] - (1-\tau)\, L_\sigma u - \xi' \cdot \nabla_y' u.$$

Note that then $f_{1,0}[u] = f[u]$. Similar as above we define

$$\widetilde{F} : B_{\kappa_1}^{\mathbb{R}}(1) \times B_{\delta_1}^{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \times \dot{C}^{0,1}(H) \times B_{r_2}^{X(p)} \to X(p)$$

that maps (τ, ξ', g, u) to the σ-solution to $f_{\tau,\xi'}[u]$ on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value g. A straightforward calculation as in the proof of Lemma 2.24 shows that we have

$$\|\widetilde{F}(\tau,\xi',g,u)\|_{X(p)} \lesssim_{n,\sigma,p} \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{0,1}} + \tau\, \|u\|_{X(p)}^2 + (|1-\tau| + |\xi'|)\, \|u\|_{X(p)}.$$

Hence we can conclude that $D_u\widetilde{F}|_{(1,0,0,0)}$ vanishes. Applying the analytic implicit function theorem once more, this time on

$$\widetilde{G} := \mathrm{id}_{X(p)} - \widetilde{F}$$

at the point $(\tau, \xi', g, u) = (1,0,0,0)$ we then obtain the existence of $\kappa_2 < \kappa_1$, $\delta_2 < \delta_1$, $r_3 < r_2$ and ε_4 as well as a unique analytic function

$$\widetilde{A} : B_{\kappa_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(1) \times B_{\delta_2}^{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \times B_{\varepsilon_4}^{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)} \to B_{r_3}^{X(p)}$$

such that

$$\widetilde{G}(\tau, \xi', g, \widetilde{A}(\tau,\xi',g)) = 0.$$

For $(\tau, \xi') \in B_{\kappa_2}^{\mathbb{R}}(1) \times B_{\delta_2}^{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$ and $(s,y) \in J \times \overline{H}$ let us now consider the transformation

$$U : (\tau, \xi', s, y) \mapsto (\tau s, y' - \xi' s, y_n).$$

A simple calculation shows that $u \circ U(\tau, \xi', \cdot, \cdot)$ is a σ-solution to $f_{\tau,\xi'}[u]$ on $(0, \tau S) \times \overline{H}$ with initial value g if u is a σ-solution to $f[u]$ on $J \times \overline{H}$ with initial value g. Therefore we have that

$$\widetilde{G}(\tau, \xi', A(g) \circ U(\tau, \xi', \cdot, \cdot)) = 0.$$

It is also clear that

$$A(g) \circ U(\tau, \xi', 0, \cdot) = g = \widetilde{A}(\tau, \xi', g)(0, \cdot)$$

and thus the above uniqueness results for $\varepsilon := \min\,\{\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4\}$ and r_3 imply that $A(\cdot) \circ U = \widetilde{A}$. Especially, $u_* \circ U(\cdot, \cdot, s, y)$ is analytic as a function of τ and ξ' into $X(p)$ near $\tau = 1$ and $\xi' = 0$ for any $(s,y) \in I \times \overline{H}$. For finite s we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big|\nabla_y \partial_\tau (u_* \circ U(\tau, \xi', s, y))|_{(\tau,\xi')=(1,0)}\big| &= \big|\nabla_x s\; \partial_s u_*(s,y)\big|, \\
\big|\nabla_x \partial_{\xi_j} (u_* \circ U(\tau, \xi', s, y))|_{(\tau,\xi')=(1,0)}\big| &= \big|-\nabla_x s\; \partial_{x_j} u_*(s,y)\big| \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n-1
\end{aligned}$$

with similar formulas for higher order and mixed derivatives. This implies the analyticity of u_* on $I \times \mathbb{R}^n$ in s and y' as well as the formula

$$\sup_{(s,y) \in J \times H} s^{k+|\alpha'|}\, |\partial_s^k \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} \nabla_y u_*(s,y)| \lesssim_n r^{-k-|\alpha'|}\; k!\; \alpha'!\; \|g\|_{\dot{C}^{0,1}(H)}$$

with an $r > 0$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n-1}$ with $k + |\alpha'| > 0$.

For the missing derivative in the tangential direction define $\vec{F}[u_*]$ by $F_j[u*] := \partial_{y_j} u_*$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and

$$F_n[u_*] := -\frac{|\nabla_y' u_*|^2 - \partial_{y_n} u_*}{1 + \partial_{y_n} u_*}$$

to rephrase the nonlinear PE as

$$\partial_s u_* - L_\sigma u_* + f[u_*] = \partial_s u_* - y_n^{-\sigma}\; \nabla_y \cdot (y_n^{1+\sigma} \vec{F}[u_*]).$$

We differentiate the equation with respect to y_n and obtain

$$\partial_{y_n}(y_n^{2+\sigma}\partial_{y_n}F_n[u_*]) = y_n^{1+\sigma}\ (\partial_s\partial_{y_n}u_* - \Delta_y' u_* - y_n\Delta_y'\partial_{y_n}u_*).$$

An integration in y_n then shows that

$$|\partial_{y_n}F_n[u_*]| \lesssim_\sigma \|\partial_s\partial_{y_n}u_* - \Delta_y' u_* - y_n\ \Delta_y'\partial_{y_n}u_*\|_{L^\infty(H)}$$

and we have already shown that the right hand side is controlled by the right quantities. However, we have

$$|\partial_{y_n}F_n[u-*]| \gtrsim |\partial_{y_n}^2 u_*|.$$

This argument can be iterated to conclude the proof. ■

Acknowledgments. This work is based on my PhD thesis. It would not have been possible without my advisor Herbert Koch. He introduced me to the different theories that fit together so beautifully in this field and showed an extraordinary degree of patience, kindness and intuition in guiding me. I also benefited from helpful conversations with Dominik John. I would also like to express my gratitude to the Bonn International Graduate School in Mathematics (BIGS) and Cusanuswerk.

References

[AC83] Donald Gary Aronson and Luis Ángel Caffarelli. *The Initial Trace of a Solution of the Porous Medium Equation*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **280**(1) 351 – 366, 1983.

[ACV85] Donald Gary Aronson, Luis Ángel Caffarelli and Juan Luis Vázquez. *Interfaces with a Corner-Point in One-Dimensional Porous Media*. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **38** 375 – 404, 1985.

[AF03] Robert Alexander Adams and John J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.

[AG93] Donald Gary Aronson and J. L. Graveleau. *A selfsimilar solution to the focusing problem for the porous medium equation*. European Journal of Applied Mathematics **4** 65 – 81, 1993.

[Ang88] Sigurd B. Angenent. *Analyticity of the Interface of the Porous Media Equation After the Waiting Time*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **102** 329 – 336, 1988.

[Ang90] Sigurd B. Angenent. *Nonlinear analytic semiflows*. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Section A. Mathematics **115** 91 – 107, 1990.

[Aro67] Donald Gary Aronson. *Bounds for the Fundamental Solution of a Parabolic Equation*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **73** 890 – 896, 1967.

[Aro69] Donald Gary Aronson. *Regularity Properties of Flows through Porous Media*. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics **17**(2) 461 – 467, 1969.

[Aro70] Donald Gary Aronson. *Regularity Properties of Flows through Porous Media: The Interface*. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **37** 1 – 10, 1970.

[AV87] Donald Gary Aronson and Juan Luis Vázquez. *Eventual C^∞-Regularity and Concavity for Flows in One-Dimensional Porous Media*. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **99**(4) 329 – 348, 1987.

[BCP84] Philippe Bénilan, Michael Grain Crandall and Michel Pierre. *Solutions of the Porous Medium Equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ under Optimal Conditions on Initial Values*. Indiana University Mathematics Journal **33**(1) 51 – 87, 1984.

[Bén83] Philippe Bénilan. *A strong regularity L^p for solution of the porous media equation*. In: *Contributions to nonlinear partial differential equations* (Claude Williams Bardos, Alain Damlamian, Jesús Ildefonso Díaz and Jesús Hernandéz, editors), pages 39 – 58. Pitman Publishing, Marshfield, 1983.

[CVW87] Luis Ángel Caffarelli, Juan Luis Vázquez and Noemí Irene Wolanski. *Lipschitz Continuity of Solutions and Interfaces of the N-Dimensional Porous Medium Equation*. Indiana University Mathematics Journal **36**(2) 373 – 401, 1987.

[CW77] Ronald R. Coifman and Guido Weiss. *Extensions of Hardy Spaces and their Use in Analysis*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **83**(4) 569 – 645, 1977.

[Dei85] Klaus Deimling. *Nonlinear functional analysis*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[DF85] Emmanuele DiBenedetto and Avner Friedman. *Hölder Estimates for Nonlinear Degenerate Parabolic Systems*. Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik **357** 1 – 22, 1985.

[DH98] Panagiota Daskalopoulos and Richard Hamilton. *Regularity of the Free Boundary for the Porous Medium Equation*. Journal of the American Mathematical Society **11**(4) 899 – 965, 1998.

[DHL01] Panagiota Daskalopoulos, Richard Hamilton and Ki-Ahm Lee. *All Time C^∞-Regularity of the Interface in Degenerate Diffusion: A Geometric Approach*. Duke Mathematical Journal **108**(2) 295 – 327, 2001.

[DK84] Björn E. J. Dahlberg and Carlos Eduardo Kenig. *Non-Negative Solutions of the Porous Medium Equation*. Communications in Partial Differential Equations **9**(5) 409 – 437, 1984.

[DK93] Björn E. J. Dahlberg and Carlos Eduardo Kenig. *Weak Solutions of the Porous Medium Equation*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **336**(2) 711 – 725, 1993.

[Fol84] Gerald Budge Folland. *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*. John Wiley & sons, New York, 1984.

[FS86] Eugene Barry Fabes and Daniel Wyler Stroock. *A New Proof of Moser's Parabolic Harnack Inequality Using the Old Ideas of Nash*. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **96**(4) 327 – 338, 1986.

[FSC86] Charles Louis Fefferman and Antonio Sánchez-Calle. *Fundamental solutions for second order subelliptic operators*. Annals of Mathematics **124**(2) 247 – 272, 1986.

[Kie13] Clemens Kienzler. *Flat Fronts and Stability for the Porous Medium Equation*. Dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2013.

[KL12] Herbert Koch and Tobias Lamm. *Geometric Flows with Rough Initial Data*. Asian Journal of Mathematics **16**(2) 209 – 235, 2012.

[Koc99] Herbert Koch. *Non-Euclidean Singular Integrals and the Porous Medium Equation*. Habilitation, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, 1999.

[Koc04] Herbert Koch. *Partial differential equations and singular integrals*. In: *Dispersive Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics* (Piero D'Ancona and Vladimir Georgev, editors), pages 59 – 122. Dipartimenta di Matematica della Seconda Università di Napoli, Napoli, 2004.

[Koc08] Herbert Koch. *Partial Differential Equations with Non-Euclidean Geometries*. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series S **1**(3) 481 – 504, 2008.

[KT01] Herbert Koch and Daniel Tataru. *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*. Advances in Mathematics **157**(1) 22 – 35, 2001.

[Kuf85] Alois Kufner. *Weighted Sobolev Spaces*. John Wiley & sons, Chichester, 1985.

[LUS75] Olga Aleksandrovna Ladyženskaya, Nina Nikolaevna Ural'ceva and Vsevolod Alekseevich Solonnikov. *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1975.

[Mos64] Jürgen Moser. *A Harnack Inequality for Parabolic Differential Equations*. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **17** 101 – 134, 1964.

[Mos67] Jürgen Moser. *Correction to "A Harnack Inequality for Parabolic Differential Equations"*. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics **20** 231 – 236, 1967.

[OM10] Frank William John Olver and Leonard C. Maximon. *Bessel Functions*. In: *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Frank William John Olver, Daniel William Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert and Charles Winthrop Clark, editors), pages 215 – 286. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[Ste93] Elias Menachem Stein. *Harmonic Analysis*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany